arXiv:1211.6552v2 [math.OA] 18 Dec 2012

Tannaka–Kreĭn duality for compact quantum homogeneous spaces. I. General theory

K. De Commer
Department of Mathematics, University of Cergy-Pontoise,
UMR CNRS 8088, F-95000 Cergy-Pontoise, France
e-mail: Kenny.De-Commer@u-cergy.fr

M. Yamashita
Department of Mathematics, Ochanomizu University
Otsuka 2-1-1, Bunkyo, 112-8610, Tokyo, Japan
e-mail: yamashita.makoto@ocha.ac.jp

Abstract

An ergodic action of a compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$ on an operator algebra A can be interpreted as a quantum homogeneous space for $\mathbb{G}$. Such an action gives rise to the category of finite equivariant Hilbert modules over A, which has a module structure over the tensor category $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ of finite dimensional representations of $\mathbb{G}$. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the quantum $\mathbb{G}$-homogeneous spaces up to equivariant Morita equivalence, and indecomposable module C*-categories over $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ up to natural equivalence. This gives a global approach to the duality theory for ergodic actions as developed by C. Pinzari and J. Roberts.

Keywords: compact quantum groups; C*-algebras; Hilbert modules; ergodic actions; module categories

AMS 2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: 17B37; 20G42; 46L08

Introduction

In the study of compact group actions on topological spaces, homogeneous spaces play a key rôle as fundamental building blocks. Ever since the foundational works of I.M. Gelfand and M. Neumark, the notion of unital C*-algebras is known to be a rich generalization of compact topological spaces, and one frequently interprets them as function algebras on (compact) 'quantum spaces'. In this more general noncommutative framework, a generally accepted notion of ‘quantum homogeneous space’ is that of an ergodic action on a unital C*-algebra, that is, an action for which the scalars are the only invariant elements.

In the same way as compact topological spaces are generalized to unital C*-algebras, S.L. Woronowicz [35, 37] generalized the notion of compact topological groups to that of *compact quantum groups*. His axiom system for compact quantum groups is a very simple and natural one involving the coproduct homomorphism dualizing the product map of groups. The resulting theory turns out to be strikingly rich, but at the same time as structured as the classical one. As in the classical case, we have the Haar measure, the Peter–Weyl theory and the Tannaka–Kreĭn duality ([37, 36]).

One may also formulate the notion of actions of compact quantum groups on quantum spaces, in a way which respects the Gelfand–Neumark duality when applied to the continuous map $G \times X \to X$ defining a classical group action. In this framework there is also a natural candidate for the ‘quantum homogeneous spaces’ over compact quantum groups, by using the formalism of ergodic (co)actions [26, 7]. In this paper, we aim to classify such quantum homogeneous spaces by means of a Tannaka–Kreĭn-like duality.

Such a duality theory for ergodic actions was already developed in [25], where the notion of quasi-tensor (‘isometrically lax’) functor was used. For practical purposes however, the lack of a strong tensor structure on such a functor makes it difficult to let algebra run its course in computations, due to the appearance of extraneous projections as stumbling blocks. Taking a cue from the theory of fusion categories, we rather formulate a duality theory in terms of *module* C**-categories* over the tensor C*-category of finite-dimensional representations of $\mathbb{G}$. Indeed, module categories over fusion categories are known to correspond to a good generalized notion of subgroup/homogeneous space (see A. Ocneanu’s pioneering work in the subfactor context [22], and more recent developments in the purely algebraic framework [1, 23, 12]).

Module C*-categories can equivalently, and more concretely, be described in terms of *tensor functors into a category of bi-graded Hilbert spaces*. This formulation then makes at the same time the connection with the ‘fiber functor theory’ from [6], which corresponds to non-graded Hilbert spaces and ergodic actions of *full quantum multiplicity*, and with the theory of [25], which corresponds to considering one particular component of such a graded tensor functor. In the purely algebraic setting, such bi-graded tensor functors also lead to the construction of weak Hopf algebras, i.e. quantum groupoids [15, 16, 11], and Hopf–Galois actions [29, 30, 27]. The relation with ergodic actions comes by means of a crossed product construction and a Morita theory for quantum groupoids, but we will not further go in to this in this paper. We also mention that a different kind of Tannaka–Kreĭn duality was developed for actions on finite quantum spaces in [5, 4], within the framework of planar algebras. However, in this paper, we will not discuss the precise relation of our results with subfactor theory.

Here is a short summary of the contents of the paper. The first two sections will cover preliminaries and fix notations. They are meant as an aid for readers who are not familiar with the methodology. In the *first section*, we will recall the basic concepts concerning compact quantum groups and quantum homogeneous spaces. In the *second section*, we introduce the necessary prerequisites concerning C*-categories, tensor C*-categories and module C*-categories. Then, in the next five sections, we prove our main results. In the *third section*, we explain how quantum homogeneous spaces lead to indecomposable module C*-categories. In the *fourth section*, we briefly expand on the algebraic content of a general compact quantum group action, so that in the *fifth section*, we can concentrate on the essential content of the reconstruction of a quantum homogeneous space from an indecomposable module C*-category. In the short *sixth section* we show that this establishes essentially an equivalence between the two notions. In the *seventh section*, we give further comments on the functoriality of this correspondence. Finally, in the appendix, we explain the link of module C*-categories with bi-graded tensor functors. It is mainly meant to explain in some detail, as well as to generalize, the remark which appears in the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [11].

In the accompanying paper [8], we apply the results of the present paper to the case of the compact quantum group $SU_q(2)$.

Conventions To have consistency when working with Hilbert C*-modules, we will always take the inner product $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ of a Hilbert space to be linear in η and antilinear in ξ. When ξ and η are vectors in a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, we write $\omega_{\xi,\eta}$ for the functional $T \mapsto \langle \xi, T\eta \rangle$ on $B(\mathscr{H})$. When A and B are C*-algebras, $A \otimes B$ denotes their minimal tensor product unless otherwise stated.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank the following people for valuable comments both on the content and the presentation of this paper: T. Banica, R. Conti, V. Ostrik, C. Pinzari, R. Tomatsu and S. Vaes. M.Y. wishes to thank Y. Arano for introducing him to the formalism of Tannaka–Kreĭn duality. M.Y. is supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF) through the Centre for Symmetry and Deformation.

1 Compact quantum groups and related structures

1.1 Compact quantum groups

Definition 1.1 ([37])**.** A *compact quantum group* $\mathbb{G}$ consists of a unital C*-algebra $C(\mathbb{G})$ and a faithful unital *-homomorphism $\Delta\colon C(\mathbb{G}) \to C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ satisfying the coassociativity condition $(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ and the cancellation condition

$$[\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(1 \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))]^{\text{n-cl}} = C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) = [\Delta(C(\mathbb{G}))(C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes 1)]^{\text{n-cl}}.$$

We recall from [37] that any compact quantum group admits a unique positive state $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}$ which satisfies

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})(\Delta(x)) = \varphi_{\mathbb{G}}(x)1 = (\varphi_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(\Delta(x)), \qquad x \in C(\mathbb{G}). \tag{1.1}$$

This state is called the *invariant state* (or the *Haar state*) of $C(\mathbb{G})$.

Definition 1.2. The compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$ is called *reduced* if the invariant state $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}$ is faithful.

In the rest of the paper, we will always work with reduced compact quantum groups. This is no serious restriction, as to any $\mathbb{G}$ *one can associate a reduced companion which has precisely the same representation theory as* $\mathbb{G}$.

Definition 1.3. A *unitary corepresentation* u of $C(\mathbb{G})$ on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_u$ is given by a unitary element u of $B(\mathscr{H}_u) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ satisfying the multiplicativity condition

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(u) = u_{12}u_{13} \in B(\mathscr{H}_u) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}),$$

where the leg numbering indicates at which position in a multiple tensor product one places the element, filling the blank spots with units. A unitary corepresentation u is said to be finite-dimensional when $\mathscr{H}_u$ is so.

When u and v are unitary corepresentations of $C(\mathbb{G})$, an operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H}_u, \mathscr{H}_v)$ is said to be an *intertwiner* between u and v if it satisfies $v(T \otimes 1) = (T \otimes 1)u$. A unitary corepresentation u is called *irreducible* if the space of intertwiners from u to itself is one-dimensional.

In what follows, for the ease of language, we will refer to unitary corepresentations of $C(\mathbb{G})$ as unitary representations of $\mathbb{G}$.

1.2 Quantum homogeneous spaces

Definition 1.4 ([7, 26])**.** Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. An *action* of $\mathbb{G}$ on a unital C*-algebra A is a faithful unital *-homomorphism

$$\alpha \colon A \to A \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$$

satisfying the coaction condition $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \alpha = (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \alpha$ and the density condition

$$[(1 \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))\alpha(A)]^{\text{n-cl}} = A \otimes C(\mathbb{G}).$$

We call A *ergodic* if the space

$$A^{\mathbb{G}} = \{x \in A \mid \alpha(x) = x \otimes 1\}$$

is equal to $\mathbb{C}1$. If (A, α) is an ergodic action, we will use the notation $A = C(\mathbb{X})$, and refer to the symbol $\mathbb{X}$ as the quantum homogeneous space.

If $\mathbb{X}$ is a quantum homogeneous space for $\mathbb{G}$, then $C(\mathbb{X})$ carries a canonical faithful positive state $\varphi_{\mathbb{X}}$, determined by the identity

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})(\alpha(x)) = \varphi_{\mathbb{X}}(x)1 \qquad (x \in C(\mathbb{X})).$$

It is the unique state on $C(\mathbb{X})$ which is α-invariant, $(\varphi_{\mathbb{X}} \otimes \mathrm{id})\alpha(x) = \varphi_{\mathbb{X}}(x)1$ for all $x \in C(\mathbb{X})$.

2 C*-categories

2.1 Semi-simple C*-categories

Definition 2.1 ([13])**.** A C*-*category* $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear category whose morphism spaces are Banach spaces satisfying the submultiplicativity condition $\|ST\| \leqslant \|S\|\|T\|$ for composition of morphisms S and T, and admitting antilinear involutions

$$^*\colon \operatorname{Mor}(X,Y) \to \operatorname{Mor}(Y,X), \quad T \mapsto T^*,$$

which behave contravariantly and satisfy the C*-condition $\|T^*T\| = \|T\|^2$ for each morphism T. A linear functor between two C*-categories is called a C*-*functor* if it preserves the *-operation.

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ be C*-categories. Let $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}')$ be the category

- whose objects are the C*-functors from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$, and
- whose morphisms between two functors $F,G\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ consist of the natural transformations $\phi_\bullet = (\phi_X \colon FX \to GX)_{X\in\mathcal{D}}$ such that $(\|\phi_X\|)_{X\in\mathcal{D}}$ is uniformly bounded.

Then $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}')$ is a C*-category with the norm $\|\phi_\bullet\| = \sup_{X\in\mathcal{D}} \|\phi_X\|$ and the involution $(\phi^*)_X = (\phi_X)^*$.

Definition 2.3 ([13])**.** We say that an object X in a C*-category $\mathcal{D}$ is *simple* if $\operatorname{Mor}(X,X)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. We call $\mathcal{D}$ *semi-simple* [21, Section 1.6] if $\mathcal{D}$ admits finite direct sums and if any of its objects is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of simple objects.

Remark 2.4. A C*-category $\mathcal{D}$ is semi-simple if and only if all morphism spaces are finite-dimensional and 'idempotents split', that is, any self-adjoint projection $p \in \operatorname{Mor}(X,X)$ is of the form vv^* for some isometry $v \in \operatorname{Mor}(Y,X)$. Furthermore, a semi-simple C*-category also has a zero object 0, i.e. an object which is both initial and terminal.

Definition 2.5. Let J be a set, and $\mathcal{D}$ a semi-simple C*-category. We say that $\mathcal{D}$ is *based on* J if we are given a bijection between J and a maximal family of mutually non-isomorphic simple objects in $\mathcal{D}$. We then write X_r for the simple object associated with $r \in J$.

By definition, any object X in a semi-simple C*-category $\mathcal{D}$ based on J is isomorphic to a direct sum $\oplus_{r\in J} m_r X_r$. The integer m_r is called the *multiplicity* of X_r in X, and is uniquely determined by $m_r = \dim(\operatorname{Mor}(X_r,X))$. Then for any object X and any irreducible X_r, the complex vector space $\operatorname{Mor}(X_r,X)$ admits a natural structure of Hilbert space by the inner product $\langle S,T\rangle = S^*T \in \operatorname{Mor}(X_r,X_r) = \mathbb{C}$.

Examples of semi-simple C*-categories will be presented in Section 3 and the appendix. They can be seen as categorified versions of Hilbert spaces, cf. the slightly different context of [3]. As with Hilbert spaces, there is essentially only one semi-simple C*-category for each cardinal number, the cardinality of the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible objects in the given semi-simple C*-category, cf. Lemma A.1.6. However, true to this analogy, they arise in various presentations in practical situations, from concrete to abstract. For the moment, it will suffice to have the following characterization of equivalences between semi-simple C*-categories.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ be semi-simple* C**-categories, with $\mathcal{D}$ based on an index set J. Let F be a* C**-functor from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$. Then F is an equivalence of categories if and only if the set $\{F(X_r) \mid r \in J\}$ forms a maximal set of mutually non-isomorphic irreducible objects in $\mathcal{D}'$.*

Proof. The necessity of the condition is obvious. Let us see that it is also sufficient. Let X be an irreducible object of $\mathcal{D}$ and let m be a nonnegative integer. Then the C*-algebra $\mathrm{End}(mX)$ is isomorphic to $M_m(\mathbb{C})$, where the identity morphisms of the direct summands form a partition of unity by mutually equivalent minimal projections. Since $F(X)$ is also an irreducible object, it follows that F induces a C*-algebra isomorphism between $\mathrm{End}(mX)$ ad $\mathrm{End}(F(mX)) \cong \mathrm{End}(mF(X))$. More generally, given a finite direct sum $X = \oplus_{r \in J} m_r X_r$, we can conclude that F provides an isomorphism between $\mathrm{End}(X)$ and $\mathrm{End}(F(X))$. Finally, by considering this argument for $X \oplus Y$, we conclude that F gives a bijection from $\mathrm{Mor}(X, Y)$ to $\mathrm{Mor}(F(X), F(Y))$ for any objects X, Y, that is, F is a fully faithful functor.

As the set $\{F(X_r) \mid r \in J\}$ forms a maximal set of mutually non-isomorphic irreducible objects in $\mathcal{D}'$, we also have that F is essentially surjective. From [19, Theorem IV.4.1], we conclude that F is an equivalence. ☐

2.2 Tensor C*-categories

Definition 2.7. [10] A (strict) tensor C*-category $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ consists of a C*-category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a bilinear C^*-functor $\otimes\colon \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and an object $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that there are equalities of functors

$$- \otimes (- \otimes -) = (- \otimes -) \otimes -, \qquad \mathbb{1} \otimes - = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} = - \otimes \mathbb{1}.$$

The 'strictness' condition refers to the on the nose associativity of $\otimes$. In most examples which arise in practice, the associativity only holds up to certain coherence isomorphisms [19, Chapter VII]. But for the cases we will encounter, the coherence isomorphisms will be obvious and one can safely ignore them. Also for abstract tensor categories, one can almost always restrict oneself to the setting of strict tensor categories by Mac Lane's coherence theorem [19, Section VII.2]. This coherence result holds as well on the C*-level.

Definition 2.8 **([10, 18]).** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor C*-category. An object U in $\mathcal{C}$ is said to *admit a conjugate* or *dual* if there exists a triple $(\bar{U}, R_U, \bar{R}_U)$ with $\bar{U} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $(R_U, \bar{R}_U)$ a couple of morphisms

$$R_U\colon \mathbb{1} \to \bar{U} \otimes U, \qquad \bar{R}_U\colon \mathbb{1} \to U \otimes \bar{U}$$

satisfying the *conjugate equations*

$$(\bar{R}_U^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_U)(\mathrm{id}_U \otimes R_U) = \mathrm{id}_U, \qquad (R_U^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\bar{U}})(\mathrm{id}_{\bar{U}} \otimes \bar{R}_U) = \mathrm{id}_{\bar{U}}. \tag{2.1}$$

The full subcategory of all objects in $\mathcal{C}$ admitting duals is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{f}}$. A tensor C*-category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *rigid* if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{f}}$.

Remark 2.9.

1. [18, Theorem 2.4] When U and V are in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{f}}$, the product of their duals $\bar{V} \otimes \bar{U}$ has a natural structure of the dual of $U \otimes V$. Moreover, if $(\bar{U}, R_U, \bar{R}_U)$ makes a dual for U, then $(U, \bar{R}_U, R_U)$ makes a dual for $\bar{U}$. It follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a rigid C*-tensor subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$.

2. For any U, the object $\bar{U}$, when it exists, is unique up to isomorphism. If $(R_U, \bar{R}_U)$ satisfy the conjugate equations, then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ also $(\lambda R_U, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}\bar{R}_U)$ satisfy the same equations. When the unit of $\mathcal{C}$ is irreducible, then for U irreducible and $\bar{U}$ a fixed dual, this is the only arbitrariness in the choice of $(R_U, \bar{R}_U)$.

3. When the unit of $\mathcal{C}$ is irreducible, then for any irreducible U with dual $\bar{U}$, one can always arrange for a solution $(R_U, \bar{R}_U)$ of the conjugate equations which is *normalized*, i.e. such that $R_U^* R_U = \bar{R}_U^* \bar{R}_U$. Then by the above scaling result, $\dim_q(U) = R_U^* R_U$ is a strictly positive real number which is uniquely determined by U. It is called the *quantum dimension* of U.

Example 2.10.

1. The category of all Hilbert spaces and bounded maps is a tensor C*-category for the ordinary tensor product of Hilbert spaces. The maximal rigid subcategory consists of all finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. If $\mathscr{H}$ is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, the complex conjugate space $\overline{\mathscr{H}}$ can be taken as its conjugate object, where the maps $R_{\mathscr{H}}$ and $\bar{R}_{\mathscr{H}}$ are given by
$$R^*_{\mathscr{H}}\colon \overline{\mathscr{H}} \otimes \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \bar{\xi} \otimes \eta \to \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \qquad R^*_{\mathscr{H}}\colon \mathscr{H} \otimes \overline{\mathscr{H}} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \xi \otimes \bar{\eta} \to \langle \eta, \xi \rangle.$$

2. For any compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$, the category $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ of its finite-dimensional unitary representations together with the intertwiners forms a rigid tensor C*-category with irreducible unit object. The tensor product $u \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} v$ of two representations u and v is defined to be the representation on $\mathscr{H}_u \otimes \mathscr{H}_v$ given by the unitary $u_{13}v_{23} \in B(\mathscr{H}_u) \otimes B(\mathscr{H}_v) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$. When u is an object of $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, its dual can be given by a unitarization of $(j \otimes \mathrm{id})(u^{-1}) \in B(\overline{\mathscr{H}_u}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$, where $j\colon B(\mathscr{H}_u) \to B(\overline{\mathscr{H}_u})$ is the natural anti-isomorphism characterized by $j(T)\bar{\xi} = \overline{T^*\xi}$. Unlike the case of Hilbert spaces or compact groups, $u \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} v$ is not isomorphic to $v \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} u$ in general.

3. [10, 34] For a fixed C*-category $\mathcal{D}$, let $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D})$ denote the category of C*-endofunctors, cf. Remark 2.2. Then $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D})$ is a tensor C*-category, with the $\otimes$-structure $F \otimes G = F \circ G$ given by the composition of endofunctors, and with the identity functor providing the unit. The associated rigid category $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathrm{f}}$ consists of adjointable functors whose unit and co-unit maps are uniformly bounded.

We recall the notion of strong tensor functor and tensor equivalence.

Definition 2.11. Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ be two tensor C*-categories. A *strong tensor* C*-*functor* from $\mathcal{C}_1$ to $\mathcal{C}_2$ consists of a C*-functor $F\colon \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ together with natural unitary transformations
$$\psi_{U,V}\colon F(U) \otimes F(V) \to F(U \otimes V), \qquad\qquad c\colon \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}_2} \to F(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}_1}),$$

satisfying certain coherence conditions [20, Section 1.2].

It is called a *tensor equivalence* if the underlying functor F is an equivalence.

Example 2.12. If $\mathbb{G}$ is a compact quantum group, there is a natural *forgetful functor* from $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$, sending each unitary representation u to the underlying Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_u$, and acting as the identity on intertwiners. The natural transformations ψ and c are identity maps. In general, there can exist other strong tensor functors from $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ besides this canonical one, cf. [6].

The following lemma will be needed at some point.

Lemma 2.13 ([18])**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ be tensor* C^**-categories, and $F\colon \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ a strong tensor* C^**-functor. If $\mathcal{C}_1$ is rigid, then the image of F is contained in $(\mathcal{C}_2)_f$.*

Proof. If $U \in \mathcal{C}_1$, then the compatibility of F with the tensor products can be used to construct a duality between $F(U)$ and $F(\bar{U})$. Hence the image of F is inside $(\mathcal{C}_2)_{\mathrm{f}}$. ☐

2.3 Module C^*-categories

Definition 2.14. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor C^*-category with unit object $\mathbb{1}$, and $\mathcal{D}$ a C^*-category. One says that $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}, M, \phi, e)$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module C^*-category if $M\colon \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a bilinear *-functor with natural unitary transformations

$$\phi\colon M((- \otimes -), -) \xrightarrow{\sim} M(-, M(-, -)), \qquad e\colon M(\mathbb{1}, -) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{id},$$

satisfying certain obvious coherence conditions, cf. [24], which we will spell out below.

We say that $\mathcal{D}$ is semi-simple if the underlying C^*-category is semi-simple.

We say that $\mathcal{D}$ is *indecomposable* or *connected* if, for all non-zero $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists an object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Mor}(M(U, Y), X) \neq 0$.

In the following, we will use the more relaxed notation $U \otimes X$ for $M(U, X)$, and similarly for morphisms. The coherence conditions can then be written in the following form, as the commutation of the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(U \otimes V \otimes W) \otimes X \arrow[r, "\phi_{U,V\otimes W,X}"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{U\otimes V,W,X}"'] & U \otimes ((V \otimes W) \otimes X) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_U \otimes \phi_{V,W,X}"] \\
(U \otimes V) \otimes (W \otimes X) \arrow[r, "\phi_{U,V,W\otimes X}"] & U \otimes (V \otimes (W \otimes X)),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& U \otimes (\mathbb{1} \otimes X) \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}_U \otimes e_X"] & \\
U \otimes X \arrow[ur, "\phi_{U,\mathbb{1},X}"] \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{id}_{U\otimes X}"] \arrow[dr, "\phi_{\mathbb{1},U,X}"'] & & U \otimes X. \\
& \mathbb{1} \otimes (U \otimes X) \arrow[ur, "e_{U\otimes X}"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3}$$

Example 2.15. 1. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a C*-category. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a module C*-category for End($\mathcal{D}$) in the obvious way.

2. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group and $\mathbb{H}$ be a closed quantum subgroup of G. Then Rep($\mathbb{H}$) is a Rep($\mathbb{G}$)-module C*-category in a natural way: the action of $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ on $\theta \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{H})$ is defined as $\pi_{|\mathbb{H}} \otimes \theta$. In other words, this is induced by the restriction functor $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G}) \to \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{H})$, which is a strong tensor C*-functor.

3. More generally, if $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are tensor C*-categories, and F a strong tensor C*-functor from $\mathcal{C}_1$ to $\mathcal{C}_2$, then $\mathcal{C}_2$ becomes a $\mathcal{C}_1$-module C*-category by the association $M(X,Y) = F(X) \otimes Y$.

We will need the following interplay between dual objects and the module structure.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a rigid tensor C*-category, and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-module C*-category. For any U in $\mathcal{C}$ and any objects X, Y in $\mathcal{D}$, we have an isomorphism* $\mathrm{Mor}(U \otimes Y, X) \cong \mathrm{Mor}(Y, \bar{U} \otimes X)$, *called* the Frobenius isomorphism *associated with* $(R_U, \bar{R}_U)$.

Proof. This can be proved by a standard argument involving the conjugate equations, cf. Proposition A.4.2. ☐

The appropriate notion of morphisms between module C*-categories is the following.

Definition 2.17. Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ be module C*-categories over a fixed tensor C*-category $\mathcal{C}$. A *$\mathcal{C}$-module homomorphism* from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$ is given by a pair (G, ψ), where G is a functor from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$ and ψ is a unitary natural equivalence $G(- \otimes -) \to - \otimes G-$, such that the diagrams of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G(\mathbb{1} \otimes X) \arrow[r, "\psi_{\mathbb{1},X}"] \arrow[d, "G(e)"'] & \mathbb{1} \otimes GX \arrow[dl, "e"] \\
GX &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.4}$$

and

(2.5)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & U\otimes G(V\otimes X) \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}_U\otimes\psi_{V,X}"] & \\
G(U\otimes(V\otimes X)) \arrow[ur, "\psi_{U,V\otimes X}"] \arrow[d, "G(\phi_{U,V,X})"'] & & U\otimes(V\otimes GX) \arrow[d, "\phi_{U,V,GX}"] \\
G((U\otimes V)\otimes X) \arrow[rr, "\psi_{U\otimes V,X}"'] & & U\otimes V\otimes GX
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute.

An *equivalence* between $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ is a morphism (G,ψ) for which G is an equivalence of categories.

The following section is dedicated to the Rep($\mathbb{G}$)-module C*-categories which are the star actors of this paper.

3 Equivariant Hilbert modules

Definition 3.1 ([2])**.** Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a quantum homogeneous space for a compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$. An *equivariant Hilbert* C*-*module* $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{X}$ is a right Hilbert $C(\mathbb{X})$-module $\mathcal{E}$, carrying a coaction $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}\colon \mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{E}\otimes C(\mathbb{G})$, where the right hand side is the exterior product of $\mathcal{E}$ with the standard right Hilbert $C(\mathbb{G})$-module $C(\mathbb{G})$, satisfying the density condition

$$[(1\otimes C(\mathbb{G}))\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})]^{\text{n-cl}} = \mathcal{E}\otimes C(\mathbb{G}) = [\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})(1\otimes C(\mathbb{G}))]^{\text{n-cl}}$$

and the compatibility conditions

1. $\forall x\in C(\mathbb{X}), \forall\xi\in\mathcal{E}\colon \alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi\cdot x) = \alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi)\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(x)$,
2. $\forall \xi,\eta\in\mathcal{E}\colon \langle\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi),\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\eta)\rangle_{C(\mathbb{X})\otimes C(\mathbb{G})} = \alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(\langle\xi,\eta\rangle_{C(\mathbb{X})})$.

Remark 3.2. An equivariant Hilbert C*-module is necessarily saturated, and in particular faithful as a right $C(\mathbb{X})$-module. Indeed, otherwise the closed linear span of $\{\langle\xi,\eta\rangle_{C(\mathbb{X})} \mid \xi,\eta\in\mathcal{E}\}$ would give a proper equivariant closed 2-sided ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in $C(\mathbb{X})$. But any invariant state on $C(\mathbb{X})/\mathcal{I}$ would induce a non-faithful invariant state over $C(\mathbb{X})$, which is a contradiction.

To any equivariant Hilbert $C(\mathbb{X})$-module one can associate a special unitary which implements the coaction.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a quantum homogeneous space for a compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$, and $\mathcal{E}$ an equivariant Hilbert C*-module over $\mathbb{X}$. One defines the associated unitary morphism

$$X_{\mathcal{E}} \in \mathcal{L}_{C(\mathbb{X})\otimes C(\mathbb{G})}\left(\mathcal{E}\otimes_{\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}}(C(\mathbb{X})\otimes C(\mathbb{G})), \mathcal{E}\otimes C(\mathbb{G})\right)$$

by the formula $X_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi \otimes (x \otimes h)) = \alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi)(x \otimes h)$.

Example 3.4. Consider a set $\bullet$ with one element, and consider $C(\bullet) = \mathbb{C}$ with the trivial right action

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{triv}} \colon C(\bullet) \to C(\bullet) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}), \quad 1 \to 1 \otimes 1.$$

Then an equivariant Hilbert C*-module over $\bullet$ is nothing but a representation of $\mathbb{G}$. Indeed, a right Hilbert $C(\bullet)$-module is just a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. Then the receptacle of the unitary operator in Definition 3.3 can be identified with $B(\mathscr{H}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$. This gives the correspondence of the equivariant Hilbert C*-modules over $\bullet$ and the unitary representations of $\mathbb{G}$. We will denote the equivariant Hilbert space associated to u as $(\mathscr{H}_u, \delta_u)$.

We will be particularly interested in a subcategory of equivariant Hilbert C*-modules which admit a nice decomposition into irreducible objects.

Definition 3.5. An equivariant Hilbert C*-module $\mathcal{E}$ is called

- *finite* if it is finitely generated projective as a right $C(\mathbb{X})$-module, and
- *irreducible* if the space

  $$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{E}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}) \mid \alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(T\xi) = (T \otimes 1)\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

  is one-dimensional.

Any irreducible equivariant Hilbert C*-module is finite in the above sense, as seen in the next proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *An equivariant* C*-*module is finite if and only if the* C*-*algebra* $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{E})$ *is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Let $X_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the unitary morphism associated with α_ξ as in Definition 3.3. Then, the map $x \mapsto X_{\mathcal{E}}(x \otimes_{\alpha_\xi} 1)X_{\mathcal{E}}^*$ defines a coaction of $C(\mathbb{G})$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, and the ideal of compact endomorphisms is a $\mathbb{G}$-invariant subalgebra [2]. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{E})$ is precisely the $\mathbb{G}$-fixed point subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$.

First, let us prove that a finite equivariant module over $\mathbb{X}$ is finitely generated projective over $C(\mathbb{X})$. We can reduce it to the case of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{C}$ by taking a decomposition associated with a partition of unity by minimal projections in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{E})$. Then, taking any non-zero positive compact endomorphism x of $\mathcal{E}$, we see that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})(X_\alpha(x \otimes_{\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}} 1)X_\alpha^*)$ is simultaneously compact and nonzero positive scalar in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$. Hence $\mathcal{E}$ is finitely generated projective over $C(\mathbb{X})$ [17, Lemma 6.5].

Conversely, suppose that we are given a finitely generated projective $C(\mathbb{X})$-module $\mathcal{E}$ admitting a compatible corepresentation of $C(\mathbb{G})$. Then, the crossed product module $\mathcal{E} \rtimes \mathbb{G}$, which is finitely generated projective over $C(\mathbb{X}) \rtimes \mathbb{G}$, admits a natural faithful representation of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{E})$ as $C(\mathbb{X}) \rtimes \mathbb{G}$-module homomorphisms.

By the ergodicity of $\mathbb{G}$ on $\mathbb{X}$, we know that $C(\mathbb{X}) \rtimes \mathbb{G}$ is a direct sum of matrix algebras [7]. Hence, for any finitely generated projective module over $C(\mathbb{X}) \rtimes \mathbb{G}$, the module homomorphisms must form a finite dimensional algebra. This implies that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathcal{E})$ is finite-dimensional. ☐

In particular, any irreducible equivariant Hilbert C*-module $\mathcal{E}$ over $C(\mathbb{X})$ gives another quantum homogeneous space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E})$. By Remark 3.2, we may call such quantum homogeneous spaces *equivariantly Morita equivalent* to $\mathbb{X}$, and we say that the equivariant Hilbert module $\mathcal{E}$ implements the Morita equivalence.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group, and $\mathbb{X}$ a quantum homogeneous space over $\mathbb{G}$. We let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ denote the category of finite equivariant Hilbert C*-modules over $\mathbb{X}$, whose morphisms are the equivariant adjointable maps between Hilbert C*-modules.

Lemma 3.8. *The category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is a semi-simple* C*-*category.*

Proof. By the above proposition, for any object $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$, the algebra $\mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ is a finite-dimensional C*-algebra. Moreover, if $p \in \mathrm{Mor}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ is a projection, then $p\mathcal{E}$ is again an object of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$. Remark 2.4 then implies the assertion. ☐

In view of Example 3.4, it can be seen that finite (resp. irreducible) equivariant Hilbert C*-modules play a similar rôle as the finite-dimensional (resp. irreducible) representations of $\mathbb{G}$.

Now let $\mathcal{E}$ be a finite equivariant Hilbert $C(\mathbb{X})$-module, and let u be a finite-dimensional unitary representation of $\mathbb{G}$. Then we can amplify $\mathcal{E}$ with u to obtain the equivariant Hilbert module $u \circledT \mathcal{E}$. As a Hilbert $C(\mathbb{X})$-module, $u \circledT \mathcal{E}$ is the amplification $\mathscr{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ with the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_u$. The coaction of $C(\mathbb{G})$ is given by the formula

$$(u \circledT \alpha_{\mathcal{E}})(\xi \otimes \eta) = u_{13}(\xi \otimes \alpha(\eta)),$$

By Proposition 3.6, $u \circledT \mathcal{E}$ is still finite. We record the following facts for later reference.

Lemma 3.9. *For any $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$, there exists a representation u of $\mathbb{G}$ such that there is an isometric morphism of $\mathcal{E}$ into $u \circledT C(\mathbb{X})$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the equivariant stabilization, see Section 3.2 of [31]. ☐

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a quantum homogeneous space for a compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ the tensor* C*-*category of finite equivariant Hilbert $C(\mathbb{X})$-modules. Then the operation*

$$\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}} \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}, \quad (u, \mathcal{E}) \mapsto u \circledT \mathcal{E}$$

defines a structure of connected $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$*-module on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$.*

Proof. The maps necessary to complete the $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$-module category structure are obvious, coming from the ordinary associativity maps for the concrete tensor products of the underlying Hilbert spaces and Hilbert C*-modules.

Let us prove that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is connected over $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be arbitrary objects in $\mathcal{D}$. By Lemmas 3.9 and 2.16, we can find a representation u such that $C(\mathbb{X})$ appears inside $u \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \mathcal{E}$. Then, again by Lemma 3.9, we can a suitable representation v such that $\mathrm{Mor}(v \textcircled{\scriptsize T} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{D}$ is connected. □

Remark 3.11. The equivariant K-group $K_0^{\mathbb{G}}(C(\mathbb{X}))$ is a free abelian group generated by the irreducible classes of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$. Note that for compact groups, the above picture was already presented, modulo the terminology, in [32, section 9]. Its extension to compact quantum groups was presented in [28].

We aim to show in the next sections that this module C*-category, together with the distinguished element corresponding to the standard Hilbert C*-module, remembers the quantum homogeneous space.

4 An algebraic approach to quantum group actions

In this section, we will provide a characterization of quantum homogeneous spaces and equivariant Hilbert modules with the analysis drained out of it. This intermediate step will make the Tannaka–Kreĭn machine of the next section run more smoothly.

The main lemma provides an algebraic description of an arbitrary action of a compact quantum group $\mathbb{G}$. It is based on results which appear already in [7, 26].

We first recall the notion of Hopf *-algebra associated with a compact quantum group.

Definition 4.1. [37] Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. If u is a finite-dimensional unitary representation of $\mathbb{G}$, the elements $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega_{\xi,\eta})(u) \in C(\mathbb{G})$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathscr{H}_u$ are called the *matrix coefficients* of u. The linear span of all such elements with the u ranging over the representations of $\mathbb{G}$ form a dense Hopf *-subalgebra $\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G}) \subseteq C(\mathbb{G})$.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a unital *-algebra. An *algebraic action* of $\mathbb{G}$ on $\mathscr{A}$ is defined to be a Hopf *-algebra coaction

$$\alpha_{\mathscr{A}} \colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G}),$$

the tensor product on the right being the algebraic one, such that $\mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{G}}$ is a unital C*-algebra, and satisfying the following positivity condition.

$$\text{The map } x \mapsto E_{\mathbb{G}}(x) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})\alpha(x) \in \mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{G}} \text{ is completely positive on } \mathscr{A}. \qquad \textbf{(P)}$$

To be completely clear, the complete positivity means that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any element $a \in \mathscr{A} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the element $(E_{\mathbb{G}} \otimes \mathrm{id})(a^*a)$ is a positive element in the C*-algebra $\mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{G}} \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group with an action α_A on a unital C*-algebra A. Let $\mathscr{A}$ denote the linear span of $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})(\alpha_A(x)(1 \otimes g))$ for $x \in A$ and $g \in \mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G})$. Then $\mathscr{A}$ is a unital *-algebra on which α_A restricts to an algebraic action.*

Proof. See [26, Theorem 1.5], and [7, Lemma 11 and Proposition 14], whose proofs do not depend on the ergodicity assumption made there. The complete positivity of $E_{\mathbb{G}}$ follows from the way it is defined in (P); namely, *-homomorphisms, states, their amplifications, and their compositions are completely positive. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group with an algebraic action $\alpha_{\mathscr{A}}$ on a unital *-algebra $\mathscr{A}$. Then there exists a unique* C*-*completion A of $\mathscr{A}$ on which $\alpha_{\mathscr{A}}$ extends to a coaction of $C(\mathbb{G})$. The *-algebra of invariant elements in A is the same as the original one.*

Proof. We denote by B the C*-algebra $\mathscr{A}^{\mathbb{G}}$. By the complete positivity assumption on $E_{\mathbb{G}}$, the B-valued inner product $\langle a, b\rangle_B = E_{\mathbb{G}}(a^*b)$ on $\mathscr{A}$ gives a pre-Hilbert B-module structure. We want to show that the left representation of $\mathscr{A}$ on itself by left multiplication extends to the Hilbert module completion $\mathcal{A}$.

Let a be an arbitrary element of $\mathscr{A}$. Since the image of $\alpha_{\mathscr{A}}$ ends up in the algebraic tensor product of $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G})$, there is a finite-dimensional unitary representation u of $\mathbb{G}$ and an intertwiner from $\bar{u}$ to $\mathscr{A}$ whose image contains a.

Let us choose an orthonormal basis e_i of $\mathscr{H}_u$, and put $u_{ij} = (\omega_{e_i,e_j} \otimes \mathrm{id})(u)$. Then, the above condition means that there are elements $a_i \in \mathscr{A}$ such that

- a can be written as a linear combination $\sum_i \lambda_i a_i$, and
- the elements a_i transform according to (u_{ji}^*), so $\alpha_{\mathscr{A}}(a_i) = \sum_j a_j \otimes u_{ji}^*$.

The unitarity of u implies that $\sum_i a_i^* a_i \in B$.

Since B is a C*-algebra, one has the inequality $\sum_i a_i^* a_i \leqslant \|\sum_i a_i^* a_i\|_B$. Fix now some j. Combining the inequalities $a_j^* a_j \leqslant \sum_i a_i^* a_i$ in $\mathscr{A}$ with the previous one, the positivity of $E_{\mathbb{G}}$ implies that

$$E_{\mathbb{G}}\left(b^* a_j^* a_j b\right) \leqslant \Big\|\sum_i a_i^* a_i\Big\|_B E_{\mathbb{G}}(b^*b), \qquad \forall b \in \mathscr{A}.$$

It follows that left multiplication with each a_j is bounded, so that a extends as a left multiplication operator to $\mathcal{A}$.

We obtain in this way a faithful *-representation $\mathscr{A} \to \mathcal{L}_B(\mathcal{A})$. Define A to be the norm-completion of $\mathscr{A}$ in this representation. We claim that the coaction $\alpha_{\mathscr{A}}$ extends to A. Consider the transformation X on $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G})$ defined by $X(a \otimes g) = \alpha_{\mathscr{A}}(a)(1 \otimes g)$. Then, the invariance of $\varphi_{\mathbb{G}}$ implies that X extends to a unitary morphism on the right Hilbert B-module $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{L}^2(\mathbb{G})$. By a routine computation we obtain that $a \mapsto X(a \otimes 1)X^*$ for $a \in A$ gives the extension α_A of $\alpha_{\mathscr{A}}$ to A.

From this formula for α_A, it also follows that we have $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})\alpha(a) = \langle a \cdot 1_B, 1_B\rangle_B$ for all $a \in A$. It follows that the invariant elements of A lie in B.

It remains to prove the uniqueness of A. Let us assume that A is an arbitrary unital C*-algebra satisfying the conclusion of the lemma. Then $E_{\mathbb{G}}$ can, by the same formula, be extended to a conditional expectation from A to B. Since $\mathbb{G}$ is reduced, this conditional expectation is faithful.

Now, if $a \in \mathscr{A} \subseteq A$ and $R < \|a\|$, the functional calculus shows that there is a positive element $b \in A$ such that $(Rb)^2 < ba^*ab$. Thus, the norm of a can be characterized by

$$\|a\| = \sup_{b \in \mathscr{A} \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{\|E_{\mathbb{G}}(b^*a^*ab)\|}{\|E_{\mathbb{G}}(b^*b)\|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence the C*-norm on $\mathscr{A}$ is uniquely determined in terms of $(\mathscr{A}, \alpha_{\mathscr{A}})$. ☐

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. Then the correspondences $A \mapsto \mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{A} \mapsto A$ of Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 can be extended to respective functors* Alg *and* Comp *between the categories of actions of $\mathbb{G}$ and algebraic actions of $\mathbb{G}$. Moreover,* Comp ∘ Alg *is naturally equivalent to the identity functor.*

Here, the morphisms on the respective categories are understood to be the equivariant unital *-homomorphisms.

Proof. Let A and B be unital C*-algebras endowed with $\mathbb{G}$-actions, and let $f \colon A \to B$ be an equivariant unital *-homomorphism. The equivariance implies that f restricts to an equivariant *-homomorphism $\mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$. This gives the functor Alg.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ are unital *-algebras with algebraic $\mathbb{G}$-actions, A and B their respective completions. Then the direct sum $A \oplus B$ admits a canonical $\mathbb{G}$-action extending the ones on the direct summands. If $f \colon \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{B}$ is an equivariant unital *-homomorphism, the map $(\mathrm{id} \times f)(a) = a \oplus f(a)$ is a faithful $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant homomorphism from $\mathscr{A}$ to $A \oplus B$. Lemma 4.4 implies that the C*-norm on $\mathscr{A}$ induced by $\mathrm{id} \times f$ has to agree with the A-norm. Hence f extends to an equivariant *-homomorphism $A \to B$. This way we obtain the functor Comp.

Now, the natural equivalence between Comp ∘ Alg follows directly from the uniqueness part in Lemma 4.4. ☐

Remark 4.6. The composition Alg ∘ Comp is not equivalent to the identity functor in general. For example, if A is given by the function algebra of closed disk $C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ endowed with the rotation action of $U(1)$, the algebra $\mathrm{Alg}(A)$ contains many $U(1)$-invariant norm dense subalgebras corresponding to the various decaying conditions around the origin. However, on the subcategory of the actions with finite dimensional fixed point algebras, Alg ∘ Comp is indeed equivalent to the identity functor.

5 Tannaka–Kreĭn construction

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. We take a set I indexing the equivalence classes of irreducible objects in $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, and a distinguished irreducible object u_a for each $a \in I$. When convenient, we will abbreviate u_a by a. The index corresponding to the unit object of $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ will be written as o. We identify $\mathscr{H}_o$ with $\mathbb{C}$ (canonically) by means of the tensor structure.

It will be handy to use the following Penrose–Einstein-like notation. It concerns the natural map

$$\bigoplus_{a\in I} \mathrm{Mor}(u_a, u) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a \to \mathscr{H}_u, \quad \sum_i x_i \otimes \xi_i \mapsto \sum_i x_i(\xi_i) \tag{5.1}$$

for any representation u. This map is an isomorphism, see Lemma A.1.4.

Notation 5.1. We will write the inverse of (5.1) as $\xi \mapsto \xi^a \otimes \xi_a$, so that $\xi = \xi^a \xi_a$.

For the rest of this section, we will fix a semi-simple $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$-module C*-category $\mathcal{D}$.

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a semi-simple $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$-module C*-category. For objects x, y in $\mathcal{D}$, we define the vector space $\mathscr{A}_x^y$ by

$$\mathscr{A}_x^y = \bigoplus_{a\in I} \mathrm{Mor}(u_a \otimes y, x) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a$$

The direct sum on the right hand side is the algebraic one. We endow $\mathscr{A}_x^y$ with the $\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G})$-comodule structure $\alpha_x^y = \oplus_a \mathrm{id} \otimes \delta_a$, where δ_a is defined in Example 3.4.

The space $\oplus_a \mathrm{Mor}(u_a \otimes y, x) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a$ may be seen as the coend of the functor $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vect}$ sending (u, v) to $\mathrm{Mor}(u \otimes y, x) \otimes \mathscr{H}_v$, see for instance [21, Section 2], [19, Chapter IX].

Our goal is to make the $\mathscr{A}_y^y$ into algebraic actions for $\mathbb{G}$, and the $\mathscr{A}_x^y$ into equivariant right pre-Hilbert modules for $\mathscr{A}_y^y$.

Notation 5.3. When f stands for an element in $\mathscr{A}_x^y$, its leg in $\mathrm{Mor}(u_a \otimes y, x)$ (resp. in $\mathscr{H}_a$) for $a \in I$ is denoted by f^a (resp. f_a). Thus, the expression of the form $f^a \otimes f_a$ is understood to represent f.

We will combine this notation with Notation 5.1. This notation can be seen as analogous to the Sweedler notation for coproducts. As an example, consider fixed $a, b \in I$, and elementary tensors $f = x \otimes \xi$ and $g = y \otimes \eta$ respectively in $\mathrm{Mor}(u_a \otimes y, x) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a$ and $\mathrm{Mor}(u_b \otimes y, x) \otimes \mathscr{H}_b$. Choose a maximal family of mutually orthogonal isometric morphisms $(\iota^c_{ab,k})_k$ from u_c to $u_a \textcircled{\scriptsize T} u_b$. Then we have

$$f^c \otimes g^d \otimes (f_c \otimes g_d)^e \otimes (f_c \otimes g_d)_e = \sum_{c,i} x \otimes y \otimes \iota^c_{ab,k} \otimes (\iota^c_{ab,k})^*(\xi \otimes \eta)$$

inside $\bigoplus_c \mathrm{Mor}(u_a \otimes y, x) \otimes \mathrm{Mor}(u_b \otimes y, x) \otimes \mathrm{Mor}(u_c, u_a \otimes u_b) \otimes \mathscr{H}_c$.

As an exercise to get acquainted with the notation, we urge the reader to prove the following interchange law

$$[(\xi^a \otimes \mathrm{id}_v)(\xi_a \otimes \eta)^c] \otimes (\xi_a \otimes \eta)_c = (\xi \otimes \eta)^c \otimes (\xi \otimes \eta)_c \cong \xi \otimes \eta,$$

where ξ, η are arbitrary vectors respectively in $\mathscr{H}_u$ and $\mathscr{H}_v$.

Definition 5.4. Let x, y, z be objects in $\mathcal{D}$. We define a multiplication map

$$\mathscr{A}^y_x \times \mathscr{A}^z_y \to \mathscr{A}^z_x \tag{5.2}$$

by the formula

$$fg = (fg)^c \otimes (fg)_c = [f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)\phi_{a,b,z}((f_a \otimes g_b)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_z)] \otimes (f_a \otimes g_b)_c.$$

where $\phi_{a,b,z}$ is the associator from Definition 2.14.

Proposition 5.5. *The multiplication* (5.2) *is associative.*

Proof. Let $(f, g, h) \in \mathscr{A}^y_x \times \mathscr{A}^z_y \times \mathscr{A}^w_z$. First, the product $(fg)h$ can be expressed as

$$[[f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)\phi_{a,b,z}((f_a \otimes g_b)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_z)](\mathrm{id}_c \otimes h^d)\phi_{c,d,w}(((f_a \otimes g_b)_c \otimes h_d)^e \otimes \mathrm{id}_w)] \otimes ((f_a \otimes g_b)_c \otimes h_d)_e.$$

Taking composition at c and using naturality of ϕ, the above is equal to

$$[f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_b \otimes h^d)\phi_{a,b,d\otimes w}\phi_{a \textcircled{\tiny T} b,d,w}((f_a \otimes g_b \otimes h_d)^e \otimes \mathrm{id}_w)] \otimes (f_a \otimes g_b \otimes h_d)_e.$$

Similarly, the expression $f(gh)$ reduces to

$$[f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_b \otimes h^d)(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes \phi_{b,d,w})\phi_{a,b \textcircled{\tiny T} d,w}((f_a \otimes g_b \otimes h_d)^e \otimes \mathrm{id}_w)] \otimes (f_a \otimes g_b \otimes h_d)_e.$$

The conclusion then follows from the associativity constraint on ϕ. ☐

Proposition 5.6. *Let x and y be objects in $\mathcal{D}$, and let $e_y \in \mathrm{Mor}(u_o \otimes y, y)$ be the structure map of tensor unit included in the module package. Then the element $1_y = e_y \otimes 1 \in \mathscr{A}^y_y$ is a right unit for the multiplication map $\mathscr{A}^y_x \times \mathscr{A}^y_y \to \mathscr{A}^y_x$, and a left unit for the multiplication map $\mathscr{A}^y_y \times \mathscr{A}^x_y \to \mathscr{A}^x_y$.*

Proof. Take $f \in \mathscr{A}^x_y$. Then the formula for the product $f \cdot 1_y$ reads

$$[f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes e_y)\phi_{a,o,y}((f_a \otimes 1)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)] \otimes (f_a \otimes 1)_c.$$

Since $\mathrm{Mor}(u_c, u_o \textcircled{\tiny T} u_a) \neq 0$ if and only if $a = c$ for $a, c \in I$, the unit constraint on e reduces this expression to $f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_y) \otimes f_a = f$. This shows that 1_y is a left unit. An analogous argument shows that 1_y is also a left unit. ☐

It follows that we can make a category $\mathscr{A}$ having the same objects as $\mathcal{D}$, and with morphism space from x to y the linear space $\mathscr{A}^x_y$. In particular the 'endomorphism spaces' $\mathscr{A}^y_y$ are unital algebras. It contains $\mathcal{D}$ as a faithful sub-*category, as shown by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *There is a linear functor $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$ which is the identity on objects, and which sends $f \in \mathrm{Mor}(y, x)$ to $fe_y \otimes 1 \in \mathscr{A}^y_x$.*

Proof. This is proven in the same way as Proposition 5.6. ☐

In the following, we will identify $\mathrm{Mor}(y,x)$ with its image inside $\mathscr{A}_x^y$.

Proposition 5.8. *Take x,y,z objects in $\mathcal{D}$. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}_x^y$ and $g \in \mathscr{A}_y^z$. Then*

$$\alpha_x^z(fg) = \alpha_x^y(f)\alpha_y^z(g).$$

Proof. When (E,α) a right comodule over $\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G})$, let us write $\alpha(x) = x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)} \in E \otimes \mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G})$. Then, resorting again to the notation of Example 3.4, one has $\delta_{u \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} v}(\xi \otimes \eta) = \xi_{(0)} \otimes \eta_{(0)} \otimes \xi_{(1)}\eta_{(1)}$. Using that $\xi^c \otimes \delta_c(\xi_c) = (\xi_{(0)})^c \otimes (\xi_{(0)})_c \otimes \xi_{(1)}$, the element $\alpha_x^z(fg)$ can thus be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}&[f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)\phi_{a,b,z}(f_a \otimes g_b)^c] \otimes \delta_c((f_a \otimes g_b)_c)\\ &\qquad = [f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)\phi_{a,b,z}(f_{a(0)} \otimes g_{b(0)})^c] \otimes (f_{a(0)} \otimes g_{b(0)})_c \otimes f_{a(1)}g_{b(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the way the coaction α_x^y is defined implies that

$$f^a \otimes f_{a(0)} \otimes f_{a(1)} = (f_{(0)})^a \otimes (f_{(0)})_a \otimes f_{(1)}.$$

It follows that $\alpha_x^z(fg)$ can be expressed as

$$[(f_{(0)})^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes (g_{(0)})^b)\phi_{a,b,z}((f_{(0)})_a \otimes (g_{(0)})_b)^c] \otimes ((f_{(0)})_a \otimes (g_{(0)})_b)_c \otimes f_{(1)}g_{(1)},$$

which is precisely $\alpha_x^y(f)\alpha_y^z(g)$. ☐

We will now define a *-operation $\mathscr{A}_x^y \to \mathscr{A}_y^x$. Here the rigidity of $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ will come into play, so we first fix our conventions concerning duals.

Notation 5.9. When $f^u \in \mathrm{Mor}(u \otimes y, x)$, we write ${}^u f \in \mathrm{Mor}(y, \bar{u} \otimes x)$ for its image of the Frobenius isomorphism associated with $(R_u, \bar{R}_u)$ (see Lemma 2.16). So,

$${}^u f = (\mathrm{id}_{\bar{u}} \otimes f^u)\phi_{\bar{u},u,y}(R_u \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)e_y^*.$$

Similarly, when $\xi_u \in \mathscr{H}_u$, we define ${}_u\xi \in \overline{\mathscr{H}_{\bar{u}}}$ by the formula

$$\overline{{}_u\xi} = (\xi_u^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_u)\bar{R}_u(1),$$

where ξ^* for a vector $\xi \in \mathscr{H}$ is the obvious map $\mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 5.10. We define an anti-linear map $^*\colon \mathscr{A}_x^y \to \mathscr{A}_y^x$ by

$$f^* = (f^*)^{\bar{a}} \otimes (f^*)_{\bar{a}} = ({}^a f)^* \otimes \overline{{}_a f}.$$

Since the above formula involves both R_a and $\bar{R}_a^*$ for each $a \in I$, the definition of * is actually independent of the choice of the duality morphisms.

Lemma 5.11. *The operation * is anti-multiplicative.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}^y_x$ and $g \in \mathscr{A}^z_y$. Then by definition of the product,

$$\begin{aligned}(g^*f^*)^{\bar c} \otimes (g^*f^*)_{\bar c} &= [(g^*)^{\bar a}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar a} \otimes (f^*)^{\bar b})\phi_{\bar a,\bar b,x}(((g^*)_{\bar a} \otimes (f^*)_{\bar b})^{\bar c} \otimes \mathrm{id}_x)] \otimes ((g^*)_{\bar a} \otimes (f^*)_{\bar b})_{\bar c} \\ &= [({}^a g)^*(\mathrm{id}_{\bar a} \otimes ({}^b f)^*)\phi_{\bar a,\bar b,x}(({}_a\overline{g} \otimes {}_b\overline{f})^{\bar c} \otimes \mathrm{id}_x)] \otimes ({}_a\overline{g} \otimes {}_b\overline{f})_{\bar c}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us concentrate first on the part $\phi^*_{\bar a,\bar b,x}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar a} \otimes {}^b f)^a g$. Choose as solution for the conjugate equations for $b \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} a$ the couple $((\mathrm{id}_{\bar a} \otimes R_b \otimes \mathrm{id}_a)R_a, (\mathrm{id}_a \otimes \bar R_b \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\bar a})\bar R_a)$. Then, using naturality and coherence for ϕ and e, we can write, after some diagram manipulations,

$$\phi^*_{\bar a,\bar b,x}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar a} \otimes {}^b f)^a g = (\mathrm{id}_{\bar a \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} \bar b} \otimes (f^b(\mathrm{id}_b \otimes g^a)))\phi_{\bar a \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} \bar b, b, a\otimes z}\phi_{\bar a \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} \bar b \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} b, a, z}(R_{b \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_z)e^*_z.$$

Substituting in the expression for g^*f^* and pulling through the factor $((g^*)_a \otimes (f^*)_b)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_x$, we find that g^*f^* is equal to the expression

$$[e_z(R^*_{b \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_z)(({}_a\overline{g} \otimes {}_b\overline{f})^{\bar c} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{b \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_z)\phi^*_{\bar c \otimes b,a,z}\phi^*_{\bar c,b,a\otimes z}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar c} \otimes ((\mathrm{id}_b \otimes g^{a*})f^{b*}))] \otimes ({}_a\overline{g} \otimes {}_b\overline{f})_{\bar c}.$$

Now for vectors ξ and η in representation spaces, we have

$$[R^*_{b \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} a}(({}_a\overline{\xi} \otimes {}_b\overline{\eta})^{\bar c} \otimes \mathrm{id}_b \otimes \mathrm{id}_a)] \otimes ({}_a\overline{\xi} \otimes {}_b\overline{\eta})_{\bar c} = [R^*_c(\mathrm{id}_{\bar c} \otimes ((\eta_b \otimes \xi_a)^c)^*)] \otimes \overline{{}_c(\eta_b \otimes \xi_a)},$$

which can be verified using the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Mor}(\bar c \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} b \mathbin{\text{Ⓣ}} a, \mathbb{1}) \otimes \mathscr{H}_{\bar c} \to \overline{\mathscr{H}}_b \otimes \overline{\mathscr{H}}_a$$

and the conjugate equations for $(R, \bar R)$. It follows that g^*f^* can be written as

$$[e_z(R^*_c \otimes \mathrm{id}_z)(\mathrm{id}_{\bar c} \otimes ((f_b \otimes g_a)^c)^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_z)\phi^*_{\bar c \otimes b,a,z}\phi^*_{\bar c,b,a\otimes z}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar c} \otimes ((\mathrm{id}_b \otimes g^{a*})f^{b*}))] \otimes \overline{{}_c(f_b \otimes g_a)}.$$

Using once more coherence and naturality for ϕ, this reduces to $(fg)^*$. □

Lemma 5.12. *The operation* * *is involutive.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{A}^y_x$. By the definition of the *-operation, $(f^*)^*$ can be written as

$$[e_x(R^*_{\bar a}\otimes\mathrm{id}_x)\phi^*_{a,\bar a,x}(\mathrm{id}_a\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\bar a}\otimes f^a)(\mathrm{id}_a\otimes\phi_{\bar a,a,y})(\mathrm{id}_a\otimes R_a\otimes\mathrm{id}_y)(\mathrm{id}_a\otimes e^*_y)]\otimes(\bar R^*_a\otimes\mathrm{id}_a)(f_a\otimes\bar R_{\bar a}(1)).$$

Using again naturality and coherence for ϕ and e and a small piece of paper, this can be rewritten

$$(f^*)^* = [f^a(R^*_{\bar a} \otimes \mathrm{id}_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes R_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)] \otimes (\bar R^*_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_a)(f_a \otimes \bar R_{\bar a}(1)).$$

But since we may replace the conjugate solution $(R_{\bar a}, \bar R_{\bar a})$ with $(\bar R_a, R_a)$, the conjugate equations for $(R_a, \bar R_a)$ show that the above expression reduces to f. □

Lemma 5.13. *For* $f \in \mathscr{A}^y_x$, *we have* $\alpha^y_x(f)^* = \alpha^x_y(f^*)$.

Proof. The coaction on f^* can be written as

$$[e_y(R_a^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)\phi^*_{\bar{a},a,y}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes f^{a*})] \otimes (f_a^* \otimes u_{\bar{a}})(\bar{R}_a(1) \otimes 1).$$

Since $\bar{R}_a \in \mathrm{Mor}(u_o, u_a \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} u_{\bar{a}})$, one has

$$(u_{\bar{a}})_{23}(\bar{R}_a)_{12} = (u_a^*)_{13}(u_a)_{13}(u_{\bar{a}})_{23}(\bar{R}_a)_{12} = (u_a^*)_{13}(\bar{R}_a)_{12}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\alpha_y^x(f^*) = [e_y(R_a^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)\phi^*_{\bar{a},a,y}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes f^{a*})] \otimes (u(f_a \otimes 1))^*_{13}(\bar{R}_a(1) \otimes 1) = \alpha_x^y(f)^*,$$

which proves the assertion. ☐

Lemma 5.14. *There is a natural equivariant* **-isomorphism*

$$\mathscr{A}^{x\oplus y}_{x\oplus y} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{A}^x_x & \mathscr{A}^y_x \\ \mathscr{A}^x_y & \mathscr{A}^y_y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. This follows from the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}(x \oplus y) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{End}(x) & \mathrm{Mor}(y,x) \\ \mathrm{Mor}(x,y) & \mathrm{End}(y) \end{pmatrix},$$

which passes through all further structure imposed on the $\mathscr{A}^y_x$. ☐

Lemma 5.15. *We have* $(\mathscr{A}^y_x)^{\mathbb{G}} = \mathrm{Mor}(y,x)$. *Further more, for* $f \in \mathscr{A}^y_y$, *we have*

$$E_{\mathbb{G}}(f) = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi_{\mathbb{G}})(\alpha_y^y(f)) = f^o f_o e_y^* \in \mathrm{End}(y).$$

Proof. These formulas follow from the definition of α_x^y and the orthogonality of irreducible representations. ☐

Theorem 5.16. *For each object* y *of* $\mathcal{D}$, *the coaction of* $\mathrm{P}(\mathbb{G})$ *on* $\mathscr{A}^y_y$ *defines an algebraic action of* $\mathbb{G}$.

Proof. The only thing left to prove is the complete positivity (P). By Lemma 5.14, it is enough to show that $E_{\mathbb{G}}$ is positive on $\mathscr{A}^y_y$ for arbitrary y. Let $f, g \in \mathscr{A}^y_y$. Then we have

$$f^*g = [e_y(R_a^*\otimes\mathrm{id}_y)\phi^*_{\bar{a},a,y}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}}\otimes f^{a*}g^b)\phi_{\bar{a},a,y}((((f_a^*\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}})\bar{R}_a(1)\otimes g_b))^c\otimes\mathrm{id}_y)]\otimes((f_a^*\otimes\mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}})\bar{R}_a(1)\otimes g_b)_c.$$

Applying $E_{\mathbb{G}}$ to this means taking the value at $c = o$.

Since u_a and u_b are irreducible, there exists an embedding of u_o into $u_{\bar{a}} \mathbin{\textcircled{\scriptsize T}} u_b$ if and only if $b = a$. In that case an isometric embedding is given by $(\dim_q u_a)^{-1/2} R_a$ for the normalized choice of $(R_a, \bar{R}_a)$. Thus, we obtain, using the conjugate equations for $(R_a, \bar{R}_a)$ in the last step,

$$\begin{aligned}((f_a^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}})\bar{R}_a(1) \otimes g_a)^o((f_a^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}})\bar{R}_a(1) \otimes g_a)_o &= \frac{1}{\dim_q u_a}(f_a^* \otimes R_a^*)(\bar{R}_a(1) \otimes g_a)R_a \\ &= \frac{\langle f_a, g_a \rangle}{\dim_q u_a} R_a\end{aligned}$$

as a morphism from u_o to $u_{\bar{a}} \circledT u_a$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbb{G}}(f^*g) &= \frac{\langle f_a, g_a\rangle}{\dim_q u_a} \cdot e_y(R_a^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)\phi^*_{\bar{a},a,y}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes f^{a*}g^a)\phi_{\bar{a},a,y}(R_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)e_y^* \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim_q u_a} \cdot e_y(R_a^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)\phi^*_{\bar{a},a,y}(\mathrm{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes \langle f, g\rangle_{\mathrm{Mor}(y,y)})\phi_{\bar{a},a,y}(R_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_y)e_y^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle f, g\rangle_{\mathrm{Mor}(y,y)} = \langle f_a, g_a\rangle f^{a*}g^a$ is the standard $\mathrm{Mor}(y,y)$-valued inner product on $\mathscr{A}^y_x$. From this formula, it follows that $E_{\mathbb{G}}$ is indeed completely positive. ☐

Notation 5.17. For each object y in $\mathcal{D}$, we denote the $\mathbb{G}$-C*-algebraic completion of $\mathscr{A}^y_y$ (see Lemma 4.4) by A^y_y. We denote the block decomposition of $A_{x\oplus y}$ induced by the isomorphism of Lemma 5.14 as

$$A^{x\oplus y}_{x\oplus y} = \begin{pmatrix} A^x_x & A^y_x \\ A^x_y & A^y_y \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this way, for general x, y, the space A^x_y naturally has the structure of an equivariant right Hilbert A^y_y-module, together with a unital *-homomorphism from A^x_x into $\mathcal{L}_{A^y_y}(A^y_x)$.

Proposition 5.18. *When x and y are objects in $\mathcal{D}$ with y irreducible, then the action of $\mathbb{G}$ on A^y_y is ergodic, and A^x_y is a finite equivariant Hilbert A^y_y-module.*

Proof. From the block decomposition as in Notation 5.17, we may as well suppose that also x is irreducible. Then by Lemma 5.15 and Lemma 4.4, we obtain that the actions on A^y_y and A^x_x are ergodic. Since the image of A^x_x in $\mathcal{L}(A^y_x)$ must by construction contain $\mathcal{K}_{A^y_y}(A^y_y)$, we deduce from Remark 3.2 that either we have an identification $A^x_x \cong \mathcal{K}_{A^y_y}(A^y_x)$, in which case A^y_x is in particular finitely generated projective, or else $A^y_x = 0$. ☐

The A^y_x are Banach spaces with the *-operations $A^y_x \to A^x_y$ satisfying the C*-condition. It follows that we can make a C*-category A having the same objects as $\mathcal{D}$, and with morphism space from x to y given by the Banach space A^x_y. By Lemma 5.7, it contains a faithful copy of the C*-category $\mathcal{D}$, which are precisely the fixed points under the $\mathbb{G}$-action on the morphism spaces.

Lemma 5.19. *Let y be a fixed irreducible object in $\mathcal{D}$, and let A^y be the category with*

- *objects the A^y_x, where x ranges over the objects in $\mathcal{D}$, and*
- *with morphism space $\mathrm{Mor}_{A^y}(z, x)$ the space $\mathcal{K}_{A^y_y}(A^y_z, A^y_x)$*

Then we have a C*-functor $F_y\colon A \to A^y$, sending x to A^y_x and an element $f \in A^z_x$ to left multiplication with this element. Moreover, the resulting maps $\mathrm{Mor}_A(z, x) \to \mathcal{K}_{A^y_y}(A^y_z, A^y_x)$ are $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant.

Proof. Since the modules A^y_x are finitely generated projective over A^y_y, left multiplication with elements in A^z_x indeed gives compact operators from A^y_z to A^y_x. The functoriality of the given map is then a formality to check. The equivariance follows from Proposition 5.8. ☐

Example 5.20. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a quantum subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$. We have seen in Example 2.15 that $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{H})$ is a $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$-module category. When w is an irreducible unitary representation of $\mathbb{H}$, we find that

$$\mathscr{A}_w^w \cong \mathrm{Mor}((u_a)_{|\mathbb{H}} \otimes w, w) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a \cong (\bar{w} \otimes (\bar{u}_a)_{|\mathbb{H}} \otimes w)^{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \mathscr{H}_a \cong (B(\mathscr{H}_w) \otimes P(\mathbb{G}))^{\mathbb{H}},$$

the fixed points being with respect to the w-induced left $\mathbb{H}$-action on $B(\mathscr{H}_w) \otimes P(\mathbb{G})$. It then follows that the action of $\mathbb{G}$ on $C(\mathbb{X}_w)$ given by Proposition 5.18 is equal to the right translation action on the fixed point algebra $(B(\mathscr{H}_w) \otimes C(\mathbb{G}))^{\mathbb{H}}$.

6 Correspondence between the constructions

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group, and let $\mathbb{X}$ be a quantum homogeneous space over $\mathbb{G}$. It is known [25] that the $\mathbb{G}$-algebra $C(\mathbb{X})$ can be recovered from the associated 'spectral functor'

$$u \mapsto \mathrm{Mor}(u, C(\mathbb{X}))$$

on $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$. In general, if we ignore the problem of completion, any right comodule $\mathcal{E}$ over $C(\mathbb{G})$ can be recovered from its spectral functor by the formula

$$\bigoplus_{a \in I} \mathrm{Mor}(u_a, \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a \simeq \mathcal{E}. \tag{6.1}$$

The algebra structure of $C(\mathbb{X})$ was recovered from the usual tensor structure on the forgetful functor of $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, and the 'quasi tensor' structure on the spectral functor.

The above general scheme and our construction of $\mathbb{G}$-algebra in the previous section are related by the following simple translation.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $u \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, and $(\mathcal{E}, \alpha_{\mathcal{E}})$ be a $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant Hilbert* C**-module over $\mathbb{X}$. Then, one has a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Mor}(u, \mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}, C(\mathbb{X})}(\mathscr{H}_u \otimes C(\mathbb{X}), \mathcal{E}). \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. If $T \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(\mathscr{H}_u, \mathcal{E})$, the map $\xi \otimes x \mapsto T(\xi)x$ from $\mathscr{H}_u \otimes C(\mathbb{X})$ to $\mathcal{E}$ is $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant and $C(\mathbb{X})$-linear. On the other hand, the inverse correspondence is given by pulling back with the embedding $\mathscr{H}_u \to \mathscr{H}_u \otimes C(\mathbb{X}), \xi \mapsto \xi \otimes 1$. ☐

The above isomorphism can be regarded as an adjunction between the 'scalar extension by $C(\mathbb{X})$' functor and the 'scalar restriction' functor (forgetting the action of $C(\mathbb{X})$). Moreover, $C(\mathbb{X})$ itself can be regarded as an irreducible object in the category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ by the ergodicity. Hence, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a finite equivariant Hilbert module over $\mathbb{X}$, the right hand side of (6.2) is the morphism set in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ (the adjointability is automatic for the $C(X)$-module maps between finitely generated projective modules).

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\bullet$ denote the object $C(\mathbb{X})$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$. Then the $\mathbb{G}$-C*-algebra $A^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$ is equivariantly isomorphic to $C(\mathbb{X})$. This isomorphism is induced by the embedding*

$$\mathscr{A}^{\bullet}_{\bullet} \to C(\mathbb{X}), \quad f \mapsto f^a(f_a \otimes 1). \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, $\mathscr{A}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$ can be identified with $\oplus_a \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}(u_a, C(\mathbb{X})) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a$, and the map (6.3) is identified with the canonical embedding (6.1). We obtain the assertion by comparing our product structure on $\mathscr{A}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$ with the one in [25, Theorem 8.1]. ☐

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a connected module* C**-category over* Rep($\mathbb{G}$)*. For each irreducible object $y \in \mathcal{D}$, there is an equivalence of* Rep($\mathbb{G}$)*-module* C**-categories $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}_y}$ by the restricting the functor F_y from Lemma 5.19 to $\mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. First of all, Proposition 5.18 ensures us that F_y has the proper range on objects. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is realized inside the category A by taking the $\mathbb{G}$-invariants in morphism spaces, the equivariance part of Lemma 5.19 ensures that F_y also has the proper range on morphisms. In the following, we will mean by F_y its restriction to $\mathcal{D}$.

We next show that F_y is a Rep($\mathbb{G}$)-module homomorphism. Let u be a finite-dimensional representation of $\mathbb{G}$, and let x, y be objects in $\mathcal{D}$. Then, the spectral subspace functor associated with $\mathscr{A}^y_{u \otimes x}$ and $u \otimes \mathscr{A}^y_x$ are the same: the one for $\mathscr{A}^y_{u\otimes x}$ is, by definition, determined by the spaces $(\operatorname{Mor}(u_a \otimes y, u \otimes x))_{a \in I}$, but the Frobenius isomorphism implies that these are equal to

$$\operatorname{Mor}((\bar{u} \,\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\, u_a) \otimes y, x) \simeq \operatorname{Mor}(\bar{u} \,\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\, u_a, \mathscr{A}^y_x) = \operatorname{Mor}(u_a, \mathscr{H}_u \otimes \mathscr{A}^y_x)$$

for $a \in I$. The resulting linear isomorphism $\mathscr{A}^y_{u\otimes x} \to \mathscr{H}_u \otimes \mathscr{A}^y_x$ is a $\mathbb{G}$-homomorphism, by the same type of calculation as in the previous section.

It remains to show that the sets of irreducible classes are in bijection under the functor F_y. By the connectedness of $\mathcal{D}$, for any object x, there exists an (irreducible) representation u of $\mathbb{G}$ such that $\operatorname{Mor}(u \otimes y, x) \neq 0$. Hence A^y_x is a non-zero Hilbert module. As in the proof of Proposition 5.18, it follows that A^y_x is irreducible if x is irreducible. If further x and z are irreducible, we must have by the same reasoning that the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^x_x & A^z_x \\ A^x_z & A^z_z \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}(A^y_x) & \mathcal{K}(A^y_z, A^y_x) \\ \mathcal{K}(A^y_x, A^y_z) & \mathcal{K}(A^y_z) \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism. Using Lemma 5.15, we see that if x and z are non-isomorphic irreducible objects, A^y_x and A^y_z are not equivalent in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}_y}$.

Now, any object in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}_y}$ is a subobject of $u \,\textcircled{\scriptsize T}\, C(\mathbb{X}_y)$ for some finite-dimensional representation u of $\mathbb{G}$. As F_y preserves the module structure, and as $C(\mathbb{X}_y)$ is the image of y by construction, we find that any object of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}_y}$ is isomorphic to an object in the image of F_y. By Lemma 2.6, we conclude that F_y is an equivalence of Rep($\mathbb{G}$)-module C*-categories. ☐

To conclude this section, we summarize our main result in the following theorem, which will also include the formalism on bi-graded Hilbert spaces developed in the Appendix. Indeed, in our setup, abstract module C*-categories will arise naturally from the study of quantum homogeneous spaces, and one then passes to the bi-graded Hilbert space picture to reveal the combinatorial structure in a more tangible form, cf. the remark after Theorem 1.5 in [14]. This will be exploited in our forthcoming paper [8] to classify the ergodic actions of the quantum $SU_q(2)$ groups for $q \neq 0$ real.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a compact quantum group. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the following notions.*

1. *Ergodic actions of $\mathbb{G}$ (modulo equivariant Morita equivalence).*
2. *Connected module C*-categories over $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ (modulo module equivalence).*
3. *Connected strong tensor functors from $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ into bi-graded Hilbert spaces (modulo natural tensor equivalence).*

The connectedness of a strong tensor functor F into J-bi-graded Hilbert spaces means that it can can not be decomposed as a direct sum $F_1 \oplus F_2$ with the F_i strong tensor functors into J_i-bi-graded Hilbert spaces, $J = J_1 \cup J_2$ with J_1 and J_2 disjoint.

Proof. The equivalence between the first two structures is a direct consequence of Propositions 6.2 and 6.3, where the arbitrariness of the choice of irreducible object corresponds precisely to equivariant Morita equivalence, cf. the remark above Definition 3.7. The equivalence between the last two is a consequence of Proposition A.4.2, under which the connectedness can easily seen to be preserved. ☐

Let us give a little more detail on the direct correspondence between tensor functors and ergodic actions. Let J be a set, and $(F_{rs})_{r,s\in J}$ be a connected strong tensor functor from $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ into J-bi-graded Hilbert spaces. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^J$ has a structure of $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$-module C*-category, in such a way that $F_{rs}(u) \cong \mathrm{Mor}(x_r, u \otimes x_s)$. Hence for r, s elements of J, the spaces $\mathscr{A}_{x_r}^{x_s}$ which were constructed in Section 5 can be explicitly expressed as

$$\mathscr{A}_{x_r}^{x_s} = \bigoplus_{a\in I} \overline{F_{rs}(a)} \otimes \mathscr{H}_a.$$

7 Categorical description of equivariant maps

In this last section, we show that equivariant maps between quantum homogeneous spaces admit a categorical characterization.

Let $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ be quantum homogeneous spaces over $\mathbb{G}$, respectively given by the coactions $\alpha\colon C(\mathbb{X}) \to C(\mathbb{X}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$ and $\beta\colon C(\mathbb{Y}) \to C(\mathbb{Y}) \otimes C(\mathbb{G})$. A $\mathbb{G}$-morphism from $\mathbb{Y}$ to $\mathbb{X}$ is represented by a unital *-algebra homomorphism θ from $C(\mathbb{X})$ to $C(\mathbb{Y})$ satisfying the $\mathbb{G}$-equivariance condition $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \theta) \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \theta$.

Given such a homomorphism θ, we obtain a *-preserving functor $\theta_\#\colon \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X} \to \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{Y}$ defined as the extension of scalars $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E} \otimes_{C(\mathbb{X})\ \theta} C(\mathbb{Y})$. We may assume that this functor maps the distinguished object $C(\mathbb{X})$ of $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}$ to the one of $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{Y}$, namely $C(\mathbb{Y})$. When $u \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$ and $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}$, let ψ_θ denote the isomorphism

$$(\mathscr{H}_u \otimes \mathcal{E}) \otimes_{C(\mathbb{X})} C(\mathbb{Y}) \to \mathscr{H}_u \otimes (\mathcal{E} \otimes_{C(\mathbb{X})} C(\mathbb{Y})), \quad (\xi \otimes x) \otimes y \mapsto \xi \otimes (x \otimes y).$$

Then ψ_θ can be considered as a natural unitary transformation $\psi_\theta\colon \theta_\#(- \otimes -) \to - \otimes (\theta_\# -)$ between functors from $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G}) \times \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}$ to $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{Y}$. This ψ_θ enables one to complete $\theta_\#$ to a module C*-category homomorphism between $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{Y}$, cf. Definition 2.17.

We aim to characterize the $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant morphisms of quantum homogeneous spaces in terms of their associated categories and functors between them.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ be quantum homogeneous spaces over $\mathbb{G}$. Let (G, ψ) be a* $\mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$*-module homomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}$ to $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{Y}$ satisfying $G(C(\mathbb{X})) = C(\mathbb{Y})$. Then, there exists a $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant *-homomorphism θ from $C(\mathbb{X})$ to $C(\mathbb{Y})$ such that $\theta_\#$ is naturally isomorphic to G.*

Note however that we do not necessarily have that ψ_θ equals ψ under this natural isomorphism, cf. Remark 7.3.

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, we know that $C(\mathbb{X})$ can be identified with a completion of the space $\mathscr{A} = \oplus_{a\in I} \mathrm{Mor}(\mathscr{H}_a \otimes C(X), C(X)) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a$, and similarly for $C(\mathbb{Y})$ as a completion of the space $\mathscr{B} = \oplus_{a\in I} \mathrm{Mor}(\mathscr{H}_a \otimes C(Y), C(Y))$. For any $u \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, the action of G and $\psi^*_{u,C(\mathbb{X})}$ induces a linear map

$$\Psi_u\colon \mathrm{Mor}\left(\mathscr{H}_u \otimes C(\mathbb{X}), C(\mathbb{X})\right) \to \mathrm{Mor}\left(\mathscr{H}_u \otimes C(\mathbb{Y}), C(\mathbb{Y})\right),$$

sending f to $G(f)\psi^*_{u,C(X)}$. We put $\theta = \oplus_{a\in I} \Psi_a \otimes \mathrm{id}_a$ as a map from $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{B}$.

We first want to show that this is an algebra homomorphism. Let f and g be elements of $\mathscr{A}$. The effect of θ on fg can be expressed, using the notation from Definition 5.4, as

$$(\theta(fg))^c \otimes (\theta(fg))_c = [G\left(f^a(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)((f_a \otimes g_b)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_{C(\mathbb{X})})\right)\psi^*_{c,C(X)}] \otimes (f_a \otimes g_b)_c \tag{7.1}$$

where we have dropped the associativity constraint for the module category since the latter is concrete.

By functoriality of G, naturality of ψ and coherence of ψ, the morphism part in the left leg of the above formula can be written as

$$G(f^a)\psi^*_{a,C(X)}(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes G(g^b))(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes \psi^*_{b,C(X)})((f_a \otimes g_b)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_{C(\mathbb{Y})}),$$

which can be simplified to $\Psi_a(f^a)(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes \Psi_b(g^b))((f_a \otimes g_b)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_{C(\mathbb{Y})})$. Since $\theta(f) = \Psi_a(f^a) \otimes f_a$, we conclude that indeed $\theta(fg) = \theta(f)\theta(g)$.

Next, let us observe that θ is compatible with the involution on both algebras. This is a consequence of the facts that G 'commutes' with the morphisms in R and intertwines the *-operations on $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{Y}$, and of naturality of ψ. Since θ is equivariant by construction, it then follows from Proposition 4.5 that θ can be extended uniquely to an equivariant *-homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{X})$ to $C(\mathbb{Y})$, which we denote by the same symbol.

Finally, we have to prove that $\theta_\#$ and G are equivalent. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an object of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$, and write $\mathscr{A}_{\mathcal{E}} = \oplus_{a\in I} \operatorname{Mor}(u_a \otimes C(\mathbb{X}), \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathscr{H}_a$, which we know can be identified with a dense subset of $\mathcal{E}$. Similar notation will be used for $\mathscr{B}$. Then for $f \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $g \in \mathscr{B}$, we can define an element $n_{\mathcal{E}}(f, g)$ in $\mathscr{B}_{G\mathcal{E}}$ by

$$n_{\mathcal{E}}(f,g) = [\Psi_a(f^a)(\mathrm{id}_a \otimes g^b)((f_a \otimes g_b)^c \otimes \mathrm{id}_{C(Y)})] \otimes (f_a \otimes g_b)_c.$$

This will give a linear map $n_{\mathcal{E}}$ from the algebraic tensor product $\mathscr{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{B}_{G\mathcal{E}}$. By construction, it extends to the canonical isomorphism $\theta_\# C(\mathbb{X}) \simeq C(\mathbb{Y}) = GC(\mathbb{X})$ at the object $C(\mathbb{X})$. Using $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$-equivariance, it then follows that $n_{\mathcal{E}}$ also extends to a unitary from $\theta_\#(\mathcal{E})$ to $G(\mathcal{E})$ for $\mathcal{E}$ of the form $u \,\textcircled{\tiny T}\, C(\mathbb{X})$ for some representation u of $\mathbb{G}$. By the connectedness of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ and linearity, we deduce that this holds for arbitrary $\mathcal{E}$. Hence $n_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces a natural unitary transformation $n\colon \theta_\#\mathcal{E} \to G\mathcal{E}$. ☐

Example 7.2. Let $\mathbb{K} < \mathbb{H}$ be an inclusion of quantum subgroups of $\mathbb{G}$. Then, the restriction functor $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathbb{H}) \to \operatorname{Rep}(\mathbb{K})$ is a $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$-module homomorphism, and maps the trivial representation of $\mathbb{H}$ to the one of $\mathbb{K}$. The induced $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant homomorphism $C(\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{G}) \to C(\mathbb{K}\backslash\mathbb{G})$ is the canonical inclusion of fixed point subalgebras for the respective left translation actions.

Remark 7.3. We have to note that different pairs of (G, ψ) might induce the same equivariant homomorphism. For example, if μ is a natural unitary equivalence from G to itself, the natural transformation $\psi^\mu = (\mathrm{id}_u \otimes \mu_x)\psi\mu^*_{u\otimes x}\colon G(u \otimes x) \to u \otimes Gx$ again satisfies the same condition for ψ. If Gx is irreducible, the map $\operatorname{Mor}(u \otimes x, x) \to \operatorname{Mor}(u \otimes Gx, Gx)$ induced by ψ^μ is the same one as the one induced by ψ. Thus (G, ψ) and (G, ψ^μ) define the same homomorphism at x.

We now want to interpret Theorem 7.1 in the context of bi-graded Hilbert spaces. We keep $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ fixed quantum homogeneous spaces for $\mathbb{G}$, and $\theta\colon C(\mathbb{X}) \to C(\mathbb{Y})$ an equivariant *-homomorphism. In the following, we let J (resp. J') be an index set of the irreducible objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Y}}$), and $r \in J$ (resp. $t \in J'$) be the label for $C(\mathbb{X})$ (resp. $C(\mathbb{Y})$). The $J \times J$-graded (resp. $J' \times J'$-graded) Hilbert space associated with the action of $u \in \mathcal{C}$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Y}}$) is denoted by $(F^{\mathbb{X}}_{rs})_{r,s\in J}$ (resp. $(F^{\mathbb{Y}}_{pq})_{p,q\in J'}$). The $J' \times J$-graded Hilbert space $(\operatorname{Mor}(X_p, \theta_\# X_r))_{p\in J', r\in J}$ associated with $\theta_\#$ is denoted by $(F_{pr})_{p\in J', r\in J}$, cf. Section Section A.2.

From Theorem 7.1, we then obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7.4. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ be quantum homogeneous spaces for $\mathbb{G}$. An equivariant homomorphism from $C(\mathbb{X})$ to $C(\mathbb{Y})$ is determined by the family of unitary maps*

$$\psi^u_{q,t}\colon \bigoplus_{s\in J} F_{qs} \otimes F^{\mathbb{X}}_{st}(u) \to \bigoplus_{w\in J'} F^{\mathbb{Y}}_{qw}(u) \otimes F_{ws}$$

for $u \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, $t \in J$, and $q \in J'$, such that $\psi^o_{q,t}$ is the identity and that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\oplus_s F_{qs} \otimes F^{\mathbb{X}}_{st}(u) \arrow[r, "\psi^u_{q,t}"] \arrow[d, "\oplus_s \mathrm{id} \otimes F^{\mathbb{X}}_{st}(T)"'] & \oplus_w F^{\mathbb{Y}}_{qw}(u) \otimes F_{ws} \arrow[d, "\oplus_w F^{\mathbb{Y}}_{qw}(T) \otimes \mathrm{id}"] \\
\oplus_s F_{qs} \otimes F^{\mathbb{X}}_{st}(v) \arrow[r, "\psi^v_{q,t}"'] & \oplus_w F^{\mathbb{Y}}_{qw}(v) \otimes F_{ws}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative for any $T \in \mathrm{Mor}(u, v)$. When $(\mu_{ws})_{w \in J', s \in J}$ is a family of unitary transformations on F_{ws}, replacing $\psi^u_{q,t}$ by $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu)\psi(\mu^* \otimes \mathrm{id})$ does not change the associated homomorphism.

Important invariants of $(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}, \theta)$ are the families of integer-valued matrices $(\dim F^{\mathbb{X}}(u_a)_{rs})_{rs}$, $(\dim F^{\mathbb{Y}}(u_a)_{pq})_{pq}$ and $(\dim(F_{pr})_{p,r}$, for $a \in I$. These are multiplicity matrices as considered in [33] and [28] (see Remark 3.11).

Let us examine them more closely in the particular case when the larger algebra $C(\mathbb{Y})$ is of full quantum multiplicity [6, 9]. This is the case if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ is based on a singleton $\{Y\}$. Thus, the functor $\theta_{\#} \colon \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{X}} \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ itself can be classified among the C*-functors by the dimension of the vector spaces $F_r = \mathrm{Mor}(\theta_{\#}(X_r), Y)$ for $r \in J$. The next result will be useful in determining the coideals inside the full quantum multiplicity ones even when there is no trace, c.f. [28, Corollary 4.21].

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{Y}$ be quantum homogeneous spaces over $\mathbb{G}$. Assume that $C(\mathbb{Y})$ is of full quantum multiplicity, and that there is a $\mathbb{G}$-equivariant homomorphism θ from $C(\mathbb{X})$ to $C(\mathbb{Y})$. Then, for any $u \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathbb{G})$, the matrix $(\dim F^{\mathbb{X}}_{rs}(u))_{r,s \in J}$ has an eigenvector with the eigenvalue $\dim F^{\mathbb{Y}}(u)$.*

Proof. The vector $(\dim F_r)_{r \in J}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{r \in J} \dim F_r \dim F^{\mathbb{X}}_{rs}(u) = \dim \mathrm{Mor}\left(\theta_{\#}(u \otimes X_s), C(\mathbb{Y})\right)$$
$$= \dim \mathrm{Mor}(u \otimes \theta_{\#} X_s, C(\mathbb{Y})) = \dim F^{\mathbb{Y}}(u) \otimes F_s$$

for any $s \in J$ (the above sum makes sense because $(F^{\mathbb{X}}_{rs}(u))_{r,s \in J}$ is banded). Hence it is an eigenvector of the eigenvalue $\dim F^{\mathbb{Y}}(u)$. ☐

Appendix. Concrete C*-categories

In this appendix, we pick up the discussion which we started in Section 2. It is, essentially, an elaborate write-out of the remark appearing in the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [11].

A.1 Concrete semi-simple C*-categories

As we will show in Lemma A.1.6, there is essentially only one semi-simple C*-category based on a given set J. This can easily be shown by using Lemma 2.6, but we would like to have a more concrete formula for the inverse of such an equivalence functor. To accomplish this, we first establish some preliminaries results. The first goal is to generalize the direct sum construction in the setting of C*-categories.

Definition A.1.1. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a C*-category. Let X be an object of $\mathcal{D}$, and $\mathscr{H}$ a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. An $\mathscr{H}$-amplification of X is an object $\mathscr{H} \otimes X$ together with an linear map $\theta_X^{\mathscr{H}} : \mathscr{H} \to \mathrm{Mor}(X, \mathscr{H} \otimes X)$ such that

1. For all $\xi, \eta \in \mathscr{H}$, we have $\theta_X^{\mathscr{H}}(\xi)^*\theta_X^{\mathscr{H}}(\eta) = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \mathrm{id}_X$.
2. If ξ_i is an orthonormal basis of $\mathscr{H}$, then $\sum_i \theta_X^{\mathscr{H}}(\xi_i)\theta_X^{\mathscr{H}}(\xi_i)^* = \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{H}\otimes X}$.

Note that, if $\mathscr{H} = 0$, the second condition above implies that the $\mathscr{H}$-amplification is a zero object. Similarly, if $\mathscr{H} = \mathbb{C}$, the $\mathscr{H}$-amplification is equivalent to the identity functor.

Lemma A.1.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a* C***-category admitting finite direct sums, and $\mathscr{H}$ a Hilbert space of finite dimension. Then any object of $\mathcal{D}$ admits an $\mathscr{H}$-amplification. The ensuing operation $\mathcal{H}_f \times \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ can be extended to an $\mathcal{H}_f$-module* C***-category structure on $\mathcal{D}$.*

We recall that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the category of finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

Proof. Choose an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ for $\mathscr{H}$. For an object $X \in \mathcal{D}$, define $\mathscr{H} \otimes X$ as the direct sum $\oplus_{i=1}^n X$ of n copies of X. With v_i denoting the i-th isometric injection $X \to \oplus_i X$, the $\theta_X^{\mathscr{H}}(\xi) = \sum_i \langle e_i, \xi \rangle v_i$ are easily seen to satisfy the conditions for an $\mathscr{H}$-amplification. The resulting construction is obviously functorial in X. If x is an operator $\mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{K}$, we choose an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_i$ for $\mathscr{H}$ and define $x \otimes \mathrm{id}_X$ to be the operator $\sum_i \theta_X^{\mathscr{K}}(xe_i)\theta_X^{\mathscr{H}}(e_i)^*$ from $\mathscr{H} \otimes X$ to $\mathscr{K} \otimes X$. Again, this is clearly independent of the chosen basis for $\mathscr{H}$, and will give functoriality on the $\mathscr{H}$-component. Finally, the associator for the module structure can be made as follows: given Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{K}$ with respective bases (e_i) and (f_j), we define

$$\phi_{\mathscr{H},\mathscr{K},X} = \sum_{i,j} \theta_{\mathscr{K}\otimes X}^{\mathscr{H}}(e_i)\theta_X^{\mathscr{K}}(f_j)\theta_X^{\mathscr{H}\otimes\mathscr{K}}(e_i \otimes f_j)$$

as a morphism $(\mathscr{H} \otimes \mathscr{K}) \otimes X \to \mathscr{H} \otimes (\mathscr{K} \otimes X)$. ☐

As a consequence of the $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$-module structure, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Mor}(\mathscr{H} \otimes X, \mathscr{K} \otimes Y) \simeq \overline{\mathscr{H}} \otimes \mathscr{K} \otimes \mathrm{Mor}(X, Y).$$

In the presentation of the right hand side, composition of morphisms involves the concatenation of the form $\mathscr{H} \otimes \bar{\mathscr{H}} \to \mathbb{C}$ 'in the middle' by means of the inner product.

Definition A.1.3. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a semi-simple C*-category based on the set J. Let $r \in J$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}$. We denote by $X(r)$ the Hilbert space $\mathrm{Mor}(X_r, X)$.

Lemma A.1.4. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a semi-simple* C**-category based on an index set J. Then there is a natural unitary equivalence $\oplus_{r\in J} X(r)\otimes X_r \to X$ for $X \in \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. Let Y be another object of $\mathcal{D}$. Considering the central support of range projections for morphisms in $\mathrm{Mor}(Y, X)$, we see that the map

$$\bigoplus_{r\in J} \mathrm{Mor}(X_r, X) \otimes \mathrm{Mor}(Y, X_r) \to \mathrm{Mor}(Y, X)$$

induced by composition of morphisms is an isomorphism. The left hand side of the above is, by definition of the amplification, canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Mor}\left(Y, \oplus_{r\in J} X(r)\otimes X_r\right)$. By the Yoneda lemma, we obtain the assertion. ☐

The next definition provides the canonical semi-simple C*-category with which we will want to compare an arbitrary one.

Definition A.1.5. Let J be a set. A *J-graded Hilbert space* is a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ endowed with a direct sum decomposition $\mathscr{H} = \oplus_{r\in J}\mathscr{H}_r$ (the right hand side should be understood as the Hilbert space direct sum). They form a C*-category $\mathcal{H}^J$ by considering as morphisms the grading-preserving operators,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Mor}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}) &= \{T \in B(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K}) \mid \forall r \in J \colon T(\mathscr{H}_r) \subseteq \mathscr{K}_r\} \\ &= \Big\{(T_r)_{r\in J} \in \prod_{r\in J} B(\mathscr{H}_r, \mathscr{K}_r) \mid \sup_{r\in J} \|T_r\| < \infty\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

The full subcategory $\mathcal{H}^J_{\mathrm{f}}$ of J-graded *finite-dimensional* Hilbert spaces is then a semi-simple C*-category based on the set J in a natural way, where an irreducible object for the label $r \in J$ is given by the graded Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}_r$ which has $\mathbb{C}$ as component at place r and 0 at the other places.

Lemma A.1.6. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a semi-simple* C**-category based on a set J. Then the categories $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H}^J_f$ are unitarily equivalent, an adjoint pair of equivalences being given by*

$$X \mapsto \bigoplus_{r\in J} X(r), \qquad \mathscr{H} \mapsto \bigoplus_{r\in J} \mathscr{H}_r \otimes X_r,$$

where $\mathscr{H}_r$ denotes the r-th component of $\mathscr{H}$.

Proof. An equivalence between $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{H}^J_{\mathrm{f}}$ can be established by using Lemma A.1.4 and Definition A.1.1 to define invertible unit and co-unit maps for the stated functors. ☐

A.2 Functors and natural transformations

The goal of this subsection is to give an equally concrete description of functors between semi-simple C*-categories, and natural transformations between them.

Let J and J' be index sets. Let $\mathscr{H} = \oplus_{p \in J', r \in J} \mathscr{H}_{pr}$ be a Hilbert space endowed with a direct sum decomposition over the set $J' \times J$. We also assume that $\mathscr{H}$ is *column-finite* in the sense that $\sum_p \dim(\mathscr{H}_{pr})$ is finite for all r. In particular all $\mathscr{H}_{pr}$ are finite-dimensional. Then one has a functor $F^{\mathscr{H}}$ from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^J$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{J'}$ given by $(F^{\mathscr{H}} \mathscr{K})_p = \oplus_r \mathscr{H}_{pr} \otimes \mathscr{K}_r$ on objects, and $(F^{\mathscr{H}}(T))_p = \oplus_r \mathrm{id}_{pr} \otimes T_r$ on morphisms.

If J'' is another index set and $\mathscr{H}'$ is a column-finite $J'' \times J'$-graded Hilbert space, the composition of functors $F^{\mathscr{H}'}$ and $F^{\mathscr{H}}$ is given by $F^{\mathscr{K}}$, where the $J'' \times J$-graded Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}$ is given by the $l^\infty(J')$-balanced tensor product

$$(\mathscr{H}' \bigotimes_{l^\infty(J')} \mathscr{H})_{vr} = \bigoplus_{p \in J'} (\mathscr{H}'_{vp} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{pr}) \quad (v \in J'', r \in J).$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}'$) be a semi-simple C*-category based on an index set J (reps. J'), with a system of irreducible objects $(X_r)_{r \in J}$ (resp. $(Y_p)_{p \in J'}$). The next proposition shows that any functor between abstract semi-simple C*-categories are induced by a column-finite $J' \times J$-graded Hilbert space as above.

Proposition A.2.1. *Let F be a* C**-functor from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$. Up to the unitary equivalence of Lemma A.1.6, F is naturally equivalent to the functor induced by the $J' \times J$-graded Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}^F$ whose (p, r)-th component is* $\mathrm{Mor}(Y_p, F(X_r))$.

Proof. First of all, the graded Hilbert space $\oplus_{p,r} \mathrm{Mor}(Y_p, F(X_r))$ is indeed column-finite, as the $F(X_r)$ splits into a finite number of irreducible objects.

A natural equivalence as in the statement of the proposition has then to be given by unitary maps

$$\phi_p \colon \bigoplus_{r \in J} \mathscr{H}^F_{pr} \otimes X(r) \to (FX)(p)$$

for $p \in J'$. On the direct summand at r, we define ϕ_p as the map

$$\mathrm{Mor}(Y_p, F(X_r)) \otimes \mathrm{Mor}(X_r, X) \ni f \otimes g \mapsto F(g) \circ f \in \mathrm{Mor}(Y_p, FX).$$

Then the resulting map is indeed unitary by the semi-simplicity of $\mathcal{D}$. The compatibility with the morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$ is apparent from the above definition of ϕ_p. ☐

Suppose we are given two $J' \times J$-graded Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{K}$, and an operator $T \in B(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$ which respects the grading. Then, we obtain a natural transformation η^T of $F^{\mathscr{H}}$ into $F^{\mathscr{K}}$ by the formula

$$(\eta^T_{\mathscr{M}})_p = \bigoplus_{r \in J} T_{pr} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{M}_r} \colon F^{\mathscr{H}}(\mathscr{M})_p \to F^{\mathscr{K}}(\mathscr{M})_p,$$

because the norm of this operator is uniformly bounded by $\|T\|$. Thus, we obtain a morphism from $F^{\mathscr{H}}$ to $F^{\mathscr{K}}$ in the category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^J, \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{J'})$ (see Remark 2.2).

Conversely, let F and G be functors from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$, and η be a natural transformation of uniformly bounded norm from F to G. Then the induced maps

$$T^{\eta}_{pr} \colon \operatorname{Mor}(Y_p, F(X_r)) \to \operatorname{Mor}(Y_p, G(X_r)), \quad f \mapsto \eta_{X_r} \circ f$$

has a norm bounded from above by $\|\eta\|$. Now, from the way F and $\mathscr{H}^F$ is identified in Proposition A.2.1, one sees that the above correspondences $T \mapsto \eta^T$ and $\eta \mapsto T^{\eta}$ are inverse to each other. We record this for reference in the following proposition.

Proposition A.2.2. *Let F and G be functors from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}'$. Then morphisms from F to G in* $\operatorname{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}')$ *can be naturally identified with grading preserving bounded operators from $\mathscr{H}^F$ to $\mathscr{H}^G$.*

A.3 Concrete semi-simple tensor C^*-categories

We next apply the above constructions to the endomorphism tensor category $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathrm{f}}$ associated with a semi-simple C^*-category $\mathcal{D}$.

Notation A.3.1. Let J be an index set, and denote by $\mathcal{E}^J$ the C^*-category of column-finite $J \times J$-graded Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H} = \oplus_{r,s \in J} \mathscr{H}_{rs}$. As morphisms, we take the bounded operators $v \colon \mathscr{H} \to \mathscr{K}$ which preserve the grading.

By the results of Section A.2, we can identify $\mathcal{E}^J$ with the tensor C^*-category of C^*-endofunctors on $\mathcal{H}^J_{\mathrm{f}}$. Thus, the tensor product, is given by the $l^{\infty}(J)$-balanced tensor product, and the unit object $\mathbb{1}_J$ is given by $l^2(J)$ with the diagonal $J \times J$-grading $(\mathbb{1}_J)_{st} = \delta_{s,t}\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma A.3.2. *The maximal rigid subcategory $\mathcal{E}^J_f$ of $\mathcal{E}^J$ has as its objects those $\mathscr{H}$ which satisfy the condition*

$$\sup_r \sum_s (\dim(\mathscr{H}_{rs}) + \dim(\mathscr{H}_{sr})) < \infty.$$

In particular, all $\mathscr{H}_{rs}$ are finite-dimensional, and only a finite number of $\mathscr{H}_{rs}$ are non-zero on each 'row' and 'column', i.e. the grading is banded. *The dual $\mathrm{d}(\mathscr{H})$ of $\mathscr{H}$ can then be given by $\mathrm{d}(\mathscr{H})_{rs} = \overline{\mathscr{H}_{sr}} = \mathscr{H}^*_{sr}$ with duality morphisms*

$$R_{\mathscr{H}} \colon l^2(J) \to \bigoplus_{r,s \in J} \overline{\mathscr{H}_{rs}} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{rs}, \quad \delta_s \mapsto \sum_{r,i} \overline{\xi^{(r,s)}_i} \otimes \xi^{(r,s)}_i$$

$$\bar{R}_{\mathscr{H}} \colon l^2(J) \to \bigoplus_{r,s \in J} \mathscr{H}_{rs} \otimes \overline{\mathscr{H}_{rs}}, \quad \delta_r \mapsto \sum_{s,i} \xi^{(r,s)}_i \otimes \overline{\xi^{(r,s)}_i},$$

where the $\xi^{(r,s)}_i$ form an orthogonal basis of $\mathscr{H}_{rs}$.

Proof. The restriction on the dimensions of the $\mathscr{H}_{rs}$ ensures that both operators $R_{\mathscr{H}}$ and $\bar{R}_{\mathscr{H}}$ are bounded. It is then straightforward to check that they satisfy the snake identities for a duality.

Conversely, suppose that $\oplus_{rs}\mathscr{H}_{rs}$ admits a dual $\oplus_{rs}\mathscr{G}_{rs}$ by means of duality morphisms $(R, \bar{R})$. Then the latter decompose into maps $R_{rs} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathscr{G}_{rs} \otimes \mathscr{H}_{sr}$ and $\bar{R}_{rs} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathscr{H}_{rs} \otimes \mathscr{G}_{sr}$. Let us write $\mathcal{J}_{rs}(\xi) = (\xi^* \otimes \mathrm{id})(R_{rs}(1))$ for $\xi \in \mathscr{G}_{rs}$, and similarly $\mathcal{I}_{rs}(\xi) = (\xi^* \otimes \mathrm{id})(\bar{R}_{rs}(1))$ for $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_{rs}$. Then $\mathcal{J}_{rs}$ gives an anti-linear map from $\mathscr{G}_{rs}$ to $\mathscr{H}_{sr}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{rs}$ from $\mathscr{H}_{rs}$ to $\mathscr{G}_{sr}$. The snake identities (2.1) imply that $\mathcal{I}_{rs}$ is the inverse of $\mathcal{J}_{sr}$.

By the boundedness of R and $\bar{R}$, we obtain that $\sup_r \sum_s \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{J}_{rs}^*\mathcal{J}_{rs}) = \|R\|^2$, and similarly $\sup_r \sum_s \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{I}_{rs}^*\mathcal{I}_{rs}) = \|\bar{R}\|^2$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{rs} = \mathcal{J}_{sr}^{-1}$, the trace property allows us to rewrite the latter equality as $\sup_s \sum_r \mathrm{Tr}((\mathcal{J}_{rs}^*\mathcal{J}_{rs})^{-1}) = \|\bar{R}\|^2$.

Suppose now that that the condition $\sup_r \sum_s(\dim(\mathscr{H}_{rs}) + \dim(\mathscr{H}_{sr})) < \infty$ is not satisfied. Then we may assume that there exists a sequence r_n such that $\sum_s \dim(\mathscr{H}_{r_n,s}) \geqslant n$. This implies that we can also find s_n and a strictly positive eigenvalue λ of $\mathcal{J}_{r_n,s_n}^*\mathcal{J}_{r_n,s_n}$ such that $\lambda \leqslant \frac{\|R\|^2}{n}$. But as $\lambda^{-1} \leqslant \|\bar{R}\|^2$, this gives a contradiction. ☐

We now show that if $\mathcal{D}$ is a semi-simple C*-category based on an index set J, then $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathrm{f}}$ is tensor equivalent with $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{f}}^J$.

Proposition A.3.3. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a semi-simple* C**-category, based on an index set J. Then the categories $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D})_f$ and $\mathcal{E}_f^J$ are tensor equivalent, by means of the associations*

$$F \mapsto \bigoplus_{(r,s)\in J\times J} \mathrm{Mor}(X_r, F(X_s)), \qquad \mathscr{H} \mapsto \Big[X \mapsto \bigoplus_{r,s\in J} \mathscr{H}_{rs} \otimes X(s) \otimes X_r\Big].$$

Proof. We have already remarked that there are mutually inverse tensor equivalences $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D}) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}^J$. Since equivalences preserve duality, they restrict to equivalences $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathrm{f}} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{f}}^J$. ☐

A.4 Module C*-categories and bi-graded tensor functors

This section essentially establishes that also in the categorical set-up, there is an equivalence between modules and representations. Combined with the material of the previous subsections, it allows one to present a concrete and workable version of a semi-simple module C*-category.

Lemma A.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor* C**-category, and $\mathcal{D}$ a* C**-category. Then there is an equivalence between $\mathcal{C}$-module* C**-category structures M on $\mathcal{D}$ and strong tensor* C**-functors $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proof. We have the associations

$$M \to [U \to M(U, -)] \qquad F \to [(U, X) \to F(U)(X)],$$

mapping all other structural morphisms in the obvious ways. These maps are clearly inverses to each other. ☐

We can now state the following useful result.

Proposition A.4.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor* C^**-category, and J be a set. Then there is an equivalence between*

1. *module* C^**-structures on J-based semi-simple* C^**-categories, and*
2. *strong tensor* C^**-functors* $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}_f^J$.

Given a module C^**-category* $(\mathcal{D}, M)$*, the corresponding tensor functor* $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}_f^J$ *is given by*

$$F \colon U \to \bigoplus_{r,s} \operatorname{Mor}(X_r, M(U, X_s)).$$

Putting the right hand side above as $\oplus_{r,s} F_{rs}(U)$*, the coherence maps are encoded as isometries*

$$F_{rs}(U) \otimes F_{st}(V) \to F_{rt}(U \otimes V), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto \phi^*_{U,V,X_t} \circ (1 \otimes g) \circ f. \tag{A.4.1}$$

Proof. By Lemma A.4.1 and Lemma 2.13, a $\mathcal{C}$-module C^*-category structure on a semi-simple C^*-category $\mathcal{D}$ based on J is equivalent to giving a strong tensor C^*-functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{D})_{\mathrm{f}}$. Composing with the tensor equivalence from Proposition A.3.3, we obtain the correspondence stated in Proposition. ☐

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch and J. M. Mombelli, On module categories over finite-dimensional Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **314** (2007), 383–418.

[2] S. Baaj and G. Skandalis, C^*-algèbres de Hopf et théorie de Kasparov équivariante, *K-Theory*, **2** (1989),683–721.

[3] J.C. Baez, Higher-Dimensional Algebra II: 2-Hilbert Spaces, preprint (1996) `arXiv:q-alg/9609018 [math.QA]`

[4] T. Banica, The planar algebra of a coaction, *J. Operator Theory* **53** (2005), 119–158.

[5] T. Banica, Quantum automorphism groups of homogeneous graphs, *J. Funct. Anal.* **224** (2005), 243–280.

[6] J. Bichon, A. De Rijdt and S. Vaes, Ergodic coactions with large quantum multiplicity and monoidal equivalence of quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **262** (2006), 703–728.

[7] F. Boca, Ergodic actions of compact matrix pseudogroups on C^*-algebras, In: *Recent advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992). Astérisque* **232** (1995), 93–109.

[8] K. De Commer and M. Yamashita, Tannaka-Kreĭn duality for compact quantum homogeneous spaces. II. Classification of quantum homogeneous spaces for quantum $SU(2)$, preprint (2012) `arXiv:1212.3413 [math.OA]`.

[9] A. De Rijdt and N. Vander Vennet, Actions of monoidally equivalent compact quantum groups and applications to probabilistic boundaries, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **60** (1) (2010), 169–216.

[10] S. Doplicher J.E. Roberts, A new duality theory for compact groups, *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 157–218.

[11] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik, Module categories over representations of $SL_q(2)$ and graphs, *Math. Res. Lett.* **11** (1) (2004), 103–114.

[12] S. Gelaki, Module categories over affine group schemes, preprint (2012) `arXiv:1209.1155 [math.QA]`.

[13] P. Ghez, R. Lima and J.E. Roberts, W*-categories, *Pac. J. Math.* **120** (1985), 79–109.

[14] P. Grossman and N. Snyder, Quantum subgroups of the Haagerup fusion categories, preprint (2011) `arXiv:1102:2631 [math.OA]`.

[15] R. Haring-Oldenburg, Reconstruction of weak quasi-Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **194** (1) (1997), 14–35.

[16] T. Hayashi, A canonical Tannaka duality for finite semisimple tensor categories, preprint (1999) `arXiv:math/9904073 [math.QA]`

[17] G.G. Kasparov, The operator K-functor and extensions of C*-algebra, *Math. USSR Izvestija* **16** (3) (1981), 513–572.

[18] R. Longo and J.E. Roberts, A theory of dimension, *K-theory* **11** (1997), 103–159.

[19] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the working mathematician, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1971).

[20] M. Müger, J. E. Roberts and L. Tuset, Representations of algebraic quantum groups and reconstruction theorems for tensor categories, *Alg. Repres. Theor.* **7** (2004), 517–573.

[21] M. Müger, Tensor categories: A selective guided tour, *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina* **51** (1) (2010), 95–163.

[22] A. Ocneanu, The classification of subgroups of quantum $SU(N)$, In "Quantum symmetries in theoretical physics and mathematics (Bariloche, 2000)", *Contemp. Math.* **294**, 133–159, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[23] V. Ostrik, Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants, *Transform. Groups* **8** (2) (2003), 177–206.

[24] V. Ostrik, Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **27** (2003), 1507–1520.

[25] C. Pinzari and J. E. Roberts, A duality theorem for ergodic actions of compact quantum groups on C^*-algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **277** (2) (2008), 385–421.

[26] P. Podleś, Symmetries of Quantum Spaces. Subgroups and Quotient spaces of Quantum $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ Groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), 1–20.

[27] P. Schauenburg, Hopf-Galois and Bi-Galois extensions, *Galois theory, Hopf algebras, and semiabelian categories, Fields Inst. Comm.* **43**, AMS (2004), 469-515.

[28] R. Tomatsu, Compact quantum ergodic systems, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 1–83.

[29] K.-H. Ulbrich, Galois extensions as functors of comodules, *Manuscripta Math.* **59** (1987), 391–397.

[30] K.-H. Ulbrich, Fiber functors of finite dimensional comodules, *Manuscripta Math.* **65** (1989), 39–46.

[31] R. Vergnioux, KK-théorie équivariante et opératour de Julg-Valette pour les groupes quantiques, Ph.D. thesis, Université Paris Diderot-Paris 7, 2002.

[32] A. Wassermann, Ergodic actions of compact groups on operator algebras. I. General theory, *Ann. of Math.* **130** (2) (1989), 273–319.

[33] A. Wassermann, Ergodic actions of compact groups on operator algebras. III. Classification for $SU(2)$, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1998), 309–354.

[34] S.L. Woronowicz, Duality in the C^*-algebra theory, *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematiciens*, Warsaw (1983), 1347–1356.

[35] S.L. Woronowicz, Compact matrix pseudogroups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), 613–665.

[36] S.L. Woronowicz, Tannaka-Kreĭn duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted $SU(N)$ groups, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), 35–76.

[37] S.L. Woronowicz, Compact quantum groups, *in "Symétries quantiques" (Les Houches, 1995)*, North Holland, Amsterdam (1998), 845–884.